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In the farming areas indicated, the Uzbek-German Forum and Human Rights Watch found:

- Public sector workers, including education and healthcare workers forced to pick cotton in fall 2015 and 2016 under threat of penalty, usually dismissal
- Third-year college students (18 years old) forced to pick cotton for two months for overnight shifts in 2015 and daily shifts in 2016 under threat of academic penalty, including expulsion
- Some first and second-year college students (16 and 17 years old) sent to pick cotton on weekends under threat of academic penalty, including expulsion in 2015 and 2016
- Local government officials, including tax authorities, coerced public sector workers and students to plant and weed cotton fields in May and June 2016 for no pay
- Colleges assigned responsibility for cotton production, which led to use of child labor in 2015
- Mahalla council employees forced each family receiving child or welfare benefits to send one family member, in some cases children, to pick cotton or lose benefits in 2015 and 2016

Ellikkala district:
- In the farming areas indicated, some schools ordered children as young as 12 to pick cotton after school in 2016
- Law enforcement detained and threatened the adult daughter of a UGF monitor from a field in Borlytau

Turtkul district:
- In October 2016, SNB officers detained an independent monitor who was researching labor abuses in cotton fields benefitting from the World Bank irrigation project
- In the farming areas indicated, children weeded fields in spring 2016

Beruni district, in the farming areas indicated:
- Some schools ordered children as young as 12 to pick cotton after school in 2016
- Children weeded fields in spring 2016
World Bank Agriculture and Irrigation Projects in Uzbekistan Linked to Ongoing Forced Labor
Regions in which activities are funded through the Horticulture Development Project

- Ferghana Valley Water Resources Management Phase I Project area (closed on December 31, 2016)
- Ferghana Valley Water Resources Management Phase II Project area (proposed)

Regions in which activities were funded through the Rural Enterprise Support Project II and Additional Financing (closed on December 31, 2016) and are funded through the Sustainable Agriculture and Climate Change Mitigation Project

- South Karakalpakstan Water Resources Improvement Project area
- Ferghana Valley Water Resources Management Phase I Project area (closed on December 31, 2016)
- Ferghana Valley Water Resources Management Phase II Project area (proposed)
Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

College  Equivalent to high school in the United States. Students attend for three years, usually from 16-18 years old.

Cotton gin  A machine that separates cotton seeds from cotton fibers. The term also refers to the state-controlled cotton association that is responsible for raw cotton procurement and ginning.

Hokim  Head of city, district, or regional administration, similar to a mayor or governor. The same term is used for all levels of government.

Hokimiat  City, district, or regional administration. The same term is used at all levels.

IFC  International Finance Corporation, an arm of the World Bank Group that finances and provides advice for private sector ventures in developing countries.

ILO  International Labour Organization, a tripartite UN agency made up of governments, employer organizations, and worker representatives.

Khashar  Uzbek tradition of community service whereby community members engage in “voluntary mutual support,” for example, helping each other with farm work or building a new house.

Mahalla  Neighborhood or local community, which can refer to the physical location, a community, or a state administrative unit.

Mahalla committee  A form of local self-government in practice directed by and financially dependent on the district and city hokimiat.

RESP II  World Bank financed Rural Enterprise Support Project Phase II, which funded the government to provide financing to farmers and agribusinesses through commercial banks from 2008 until December 31, 2016.

Selkhozfond  Fund housed in the Ministry of Finance responsible for payments for agricultural production, purchasing, and sales.
**Soum**  Uzbek currency


Summary

Cotton is mandatory for everyone. The government gave the orders [to pick] and you will not go against those orders.... If I refuse, they will fire me.... We would lose the bread we eat.

—Uzbek schoolteacher, October 2015, Turtkul, Karakalpakstan

For several weeks in the fall of 2015, government officials forced Firuza, a 47-year-old grandmother, to harvest cotton in Turtkul, a district in Uzbekistan’s most western region, the autonomous Republic of Karakalpakstan. The local neighborhood council, the mahalla committee, had threatened to withhold child welfare benefits for her grandson if she did not go to the fields to harvest cotton. These same officials forced another woman, Gulnora, to harvest cotton for the same length of time. Although Gulnora worked, the government refused to pay her child welfare benefits, promising to consider reinstating them if she worked in the fields the next spring. The Uzbek government forces enormous numbers of people to harvest cotton every year through this kind of coercion.

The government’s abusive practices are not confined to adults. During the 2016 harvest the government forced young children to work in the cotton fields. In Ellikkala, a district neighboring Turtkul, officials from at least two schools ordered 13 and 14-year-old children to pick cotton after school. The Uzbek-German Forum for Human Rights saw children working in one of the cotton fields, and a teacher ordering the children to hide. The World Bank has funded an irrigation project in these districts on the condition that the Uzbek government comply with laws prohibiting forced and child labor. Despite this agreement, the Uzbek government has continued to force people, including children, to work within the project area.

Withholding child benefits and other welfare payments is just one of the penalties the government has used to force people to work. The government has threatened to fire people, especially public sector employees who are among the lowest paid in the country. Students who refused to work faced the threat of expulsion, academic penalties, and other consequences. People living in poverty are particularly susceptible to forced labor, as they are unable to risk losing their jobs or welfare benefits by refusing to work and cannot afford to pay people to work in their place.
Based on interviews with victims of forced labor in September to November 2015, April to June and September to November 2016, and early 2017, leaked government documents, and statements by government officials, this report details how the Uzbek government forced students, teachers, medical workers, other government employees, and private-sector employees to harvest cotton in 2015 and 2016, as well as prepare the cotton fields in the spring of 2016. The report documents forced adult and child labor in one World Bank project area and demonstrates that it is highly likely that the Bank’s other agriculture projects in Uzbekistan are linked to ongoing forced labor in light of the systemic nature of the abuses. The report also finds that there is a significant risk of child labor in other Bank agricultural projects in the country.

Uzbekistan is the fifth largest cotton producer in the world. It exports about 60 percent of its raw cotton to China, Bangladesh, Turkey, and Iran. Uzbekistan’s cotton industry generates over US$1 billion in revenue, or about a quarter of the country’s gross domestic product (GDP), from one million tons of cotton fiber annually. These funds go into an
opaque extra-budgetary account, the *Selkhozfond*, housed in the Ministry of Finance, that escapes public scrutiny and is controlled by high-level officials.

Campaigns by a number of groups against forced and child labor in Uzbekistan’s cotton sector have resulted in boycotts of Uzbek cotton. For example, 274 companies have pledged not to knowingly source cotton from Uzbekistan because of forced and child labor in the sector. Despite this, the World Bank remains active in the country’s agriculture sector providing a total of $518.75 million in loans to the government for projects in this sector in 2015 and 2016.

In Turtkul, Beruni, and Ellikkala districts in Karakalpakstan, the World Bank has worked with the Uzbek government since April 2015 under a $337.43 million irrigation project. Cotton is grown on more than 50 percent of the arable land within this project area. The World Bank secured a commitment from the Uzbek government to comply with national and international forced and child labor laws in the project area and agreed that the loan could be suspended if there was credible evidence of violations.

Since the World Bank approved this project in 2014, the Uzbek government has continued to force people, sometimes children, to work in the cotton sector in Turtkul, Beruni, and Ellikkala, including within the Bank’s project area. Independent groups, including the Uzbek-German Forum for Human Rights, submitted evidence of forced and child labor to the World Bank during and following the 2015 harvest, which runs from early September until early to mid-November annually. Instead of suspending its loan to the government, in line with the 2014 agreement between the two parties, the World Bank increased its
investments in Uzbekistan’s agriculture industry through its private sector lending arm, the International Finance Corporation (IFC).

Shortly after the 2015 cotton harvest, the IFC invested in a government joint venture with a subsidiary of Indonesia’s Indorama Corporation, Indorama Kokand Textile, a leading cotton yarn producer in Uzbekistan. In December 2015 the IFC agreed to loan Indorama $40 million to expand its textile plant, which uses solely Uzbek cotton. Given the scale of forced labor in Uzbekistan and its systemic nature, it is highly unlikely that a company could source any significant quantity of cotton from Uzbekistan at present that has not been harvested, at least in part, by forced laborers. There is also a significant risk of child labor.

According to the IFC, Indorama tracks its purchases from sites where cotton is processed to mitigate the risk of child and forced labor. Together with the IFC, Indorama has
developed a system for rating the risk level of districts in which gins are located. But this system is deeply inadequate. The IFC’s Environmental and Social Performance Standards, which are designed to prevent the IFC from investing in projects that harm people or the environment, require clients to identify risks of, monitor for, and remedy forced and child labor in their supply chains. The Performance Standards provide that where remedy is not possible, clients must shift the project’s primary supply chain over time to suppliers that can demonstrate that they do not employ forced and child labor.

The World Bank is also heavily invested in the country’s education sector, where forced and child labor have undermined access to education, and its quality, because teachers, and students, including children, have had to leave school for up to several months to work in cotton fields. Through direct funding and the Global Partnership for Education, a multistakeholder funding platform, the World Bank provides almost $100 million in financing for education projects in Uzbekistan.

The government has greatly reduced the number of children it forces to work since 2013, primarily by ordering government officials down the line of command to mobilize adults rather than children. However, Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum documented more cases of state-organized child labor through schools mobilizing children in 2016 than in the previous year. For example, in addition to child labor in Karakalpakstan described above, in 2016 children and teachers in two districts in Kashkadaryya and a school employee in rural Fergana told Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum that local officials required schools to mobilize children as young as 10 or 11 years old to pick cotton and suspended classes during this period. They noted that in several districts this was worse than 2015, when children received some classes prior to being sent to pick cotton.

The World Bank’s Unsuccessful “Mitigation” of Forced Labor

The World Bank has a long history of investing in Uzbekistan’s agriculture sector, but a poor record of addressing forced and child labor in the projects it funds. The Bank only acknowledged this problem after forced laborers filed a complaint with the World Bank's
Housing provided for people working in the cotton fields during the 2016 harvest. Workers stay overnight for between a few weeks and two months, depending on their employers’ directions. Their employers are acting on orders from the government. © 2016 UGF

independent accountability mechanism, the Inspection Panel, in 2013. That complaint alleged that a Bank agriculture project was contributing to the perpetuation of forced and child labor in Uzbekistan.

In response, the World Bank introduced several measures to mitigate the risk of these labor abuses being linked to existing and proposed Bank projects. It required the government to comply with national and international laws on forced and child labor. It also committed to establish third party monitoring of labor practices in the Bank’s project areas and to implement a grievance mechanism through which victims of forced labor would be able to complain and receive some redress. These mitigation measures do not adequately address government-organized, systematic forced labor in Uzbekistan’s cotton
sector. Ultimately, the Bank found that it could not implement some of its commitments, so it settled for weaker measures.

For example, the World Bank contracted the International Labour Organization (ILO), a tripartite UN agency made up of governments, employer organizations, and worker representatives, to monitor forced and child labor in partnership with the Uzbek government, instead of independently monitoring the government’s practices. The ILO has an important role to play in promoting fundamental labor rights in Uzbekistan. However, it allowed the involvement of government and government-aligned organizations in the monitoring effort. The lack of independence of labor unions in Uzbekistan further compromises the ILO’s work in Uzbekistan. Under this structure, in reality, the government that mandates forced labor and utilizes child labor is allowed to monitor itself. While the World Bank has acknowledged these limitations privately, publicly it continues to refer to the ILO as undertaking “independent monitoring.”

The credibility of the ILO’s findings has been further undermined by evidence that the government coached ILO interviewees. The ILO reported that “Many interviewees appeared to have been briefed in advance.” Numerous people told Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum that government officials or their supervisors told people to say they were local and unemployed, picking cotton voluntarily, or that they worked as cleaners or guards in their schools and hospitals instead of teachers and medical staff. If the monitors already knew that they were teachers, then they were to say that they voluntarily picked cotton after they had finished teaching classes.

There is no proper grievance mechanism either. Instead of an independent mechanism, the Ministry of Labor and a government-controlled trade union federation are responsible for obtaining feedback from workers, undermining its credibility among workers. This system has resulted in reprisals against complainants and a general dismissal of their concerns, both of which have compounded the lack of trust in the mechanism.

The World Bank has not recognized that Uzbekistan has breached its loan agreements with the Bank in continuing to force adults and some children to work in its project area, despite receiving evidence from independent groups including Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum of these abuses. The ILO similarly reported to the World Bank
that it observed indicators of forced labor in the country in 2015 and that there were ongoing risks of forced labor in 2016 including in Bank project areas.

Instead of suspending key loans to Uzbekistan, the World Bank has lauded the government for its efforts, saying, “The government is taking actions, albeit in a very incremental and cautious manner, that reflect a genuine commitment to abide by its national laws and international commitments.” Bank staff have pointed to legal changes, the government’s cooperation with the ILO, increased training on forced and child labor, the promise of mechanization, the government’s commitment to reduce the land on which it requires farmers to grow cotton, and reports that at least one government official was dismissed for violating forced and/or child labor laws in November 2016. The Bank also noted that, according to the ILO, the number of people that refused to work in the cotton harvest doubled from 2014 to 2015. While these are notable developments, none of these steps directly addressed the fact that forced and child labor continue to be linked to Bank-supported projects in violation of the Bank’s agreements with the Uzbek government.
Threats and Reprisals Against Human Rights Defenders

The Uzbek-German Forum’s monitors, as well as other people conducting human rights and labor rights monitoring work, faced constant risk of harassment and persecution in 2015 and 2016. In several regions, local authorities, including police, prosecutors, and representatives of mahalla committees, called in monitors for questioning, accused them of being involved in illegal or “bad” activities, threatened them with charges, loss of jobs, or other penalties, and in some cases confiscated their research materials. Local police and central government officials have also arbitrarily prevented monitors from traveling in connection with their human rights work.

In 2015 this harassment reached unprecedented levels as the government used arbitrary arrest, threats, degrading ill-treatment, and other repressive means to undermine the ability of monitors to conduct research and provide information to the ILO and other international institutions. One monitor, Dmitry Tikhonov, had to flee the country and another, Uktam Pardaev, was imprisoned for two months and released on a suspended sentence. Police told Pardaev that he is subject to travel restrictions and a curfew, although these are not stipulated in the sentence, and have surveilled and intimidated his relatives and friends. He risks going to prison if found to violate conditions of release, which he believes could be used to retaliate against him for speaking out about human rights abuses.

In 2016 only one Uzbek-German Forum monitor, Elena Urlaeva, continued to work openly, and she was subjected to surveillance, harassment, arbitrary detentions and other abuses. On March 1, 2017, police again detained Urlaeva. After reportedly insulting and assaulting her, police sent Urlaeva to a psychiatric hospital for forced treatment. The hospital released her on March 23. Urlaeva said she believes authorities detained her to prevent her from meeting with representatives of the World Bank and the ILO. In Karakalpakstan, where the World Bank irrigation project is being implemented, authorities questioned and intimidated another Uzbek-German Forum monitor, who did not work openly, and a member of his family, suspecting him of monitoring. Security forces also arrested an independent monitor in this area and briefly detained him.
The United Nations Human Rights Committee has raised concerns about forced labor and the treatment of individuals attempting to monitor labor practices in Uzbekistan. Human Rights Watch and others have repeatedly recommended that the Bank include a covenant in loan and financing agreements explicitly allowing independent civil society and journalists unfettered access to monitor forced and child labor, along with other human rights abuses within the Bank’s project areas and to prohibit reprisals against monitors, those that speak to them, or people that lodge complaints. The World Bank refused.

In 2015 and 2016 the World Bank said that it spoke with the Uzbek government about alleged reprisals. Nonetheless, reprisals continued and the Bank has not escalated its response.
The Way Forward for the Government of Uzbekistan

Uzbekistan’s former authoritarian president, Islam Karimov, whose death was reported on September 2, 2016, left a legacy of repression following his 26-year rule. The country’s new president, Shavkat Mirziyoyev, promised increased accountability and acknowledged the lack of reform in key aspects of Uzbekistan’s society, including the economy and the criminal justice system. Despite these statements and the release of several political prisoners, Uzbekistan’s rights record remains atrocious. This leadership change provides a good moment for concerned governments and international financial institutions to press for comprehensive reforms to dismantle Uzbekistan’s forced labor system and provide accountability for past abuses.

Reform of the cotton sector, with its rampant corruption and abusive labor practices, would be a significant step in realizing Mirziyoyev’s promise of accountability. However, Mirziyoyev’s previous positions raise concerns about his credibility. As prime minister from 2003 to 2016 he oversaw the cotton production system, and as the previous governor of Jizzakh and Samarkand, he was in charge of two cotton-producing regions. The 2016 harvest, when Mirziyoyev was acting president and retained control over cotton production, continued to be defined by mass involuntary mobilization of workers under threat of penalty.

As this report outlines, the government can implement immediate reforms to show a real commitment to ending forced labor, including by significantly curtailing forced labor and eliminating child labor in the cotton sector, as well as implementing broader reforms in the agricultural sector to address the root causes of forced labor. Basic steps would include enforcing laws that prohibit the use of forced and child labor, instructing government officials to stop coercing people to work, and allowing independent journalists and human rights defenders to freely monitor the cotton sector without fear of reprisals.

The Way Forward for the World Bank, International Finance Corporation

The World Bank should suspend disbursements in all agriculture and irrigation financing in Uzbekistan until the government fulfills its commitments under World Bank agreements not to utilize forced or child labor in areas where there are Bank-supported projects. The IFC should similarly suspend disbursements to investments in Uzbekistan’s cotton
industry until its borrowers can show that they do not source cotton from fields tainted by forced or child labor.

In addition, the World Bank and the IFC should take all necessary measures to prevent reprisals against monitors who document and report on labor conditions or other human rights issues linked, directly or indirectly, to their projects in Uzbekistan. The institutions should closely monitor for reprisals and, should they occur, respond promptly, publicly, and vigorously, including by pressing the government to investigate and hold to account anyone who uses force or threatens persons reporting human rights concerns. They should also independently investigate alleged violations and work to remedy harms suffered from reprisals.

In addition, the World Bank and IFC should publicly and regularly report on reprisals linked in any way to their investments, as well as the actions they took to respond. The Bank should amend its project agreements in Uzbekistan to require the government to allow independent journalists, human rights defenders, and other individuals and organizations access to monitor and report on forced and child labor, along with other human rights abuses in all World Bank Group project areas. The agreements should also require the government to ensure that no one faces reprisals for monitoring human rights violations in project areas, bringing complaints, or engaging with monitors.
Recommendations

To the World Bank

- Suspend all disbursements and future financing to the Uzbek government for agriculture and irrigation projects until the government is not using forced or child labor in World Bank project areas.

- Prior to disbursing any more funds for the relevant agriculture and irrigation projects, require the government of Uzbekistan to:
  - Instruct all government officials and citizens that act on behalf of the government not to coerce people and institutions to mobilize forced laborers;
  - Allow independent monitoring of the cotton sector, including by journalists, human rights defenders, and other individuals without fear of reprisals; and
  - Initiate a time-bound plan to reform root causes of forced and child labor in the agriculture sector, including ensuring national budgets reviewed by the Oliy Majlis include expenditures and income in the agriculture sector.

- Amend existing irrigation, agriculture, and education project agreements to allow independent parties to monitor World Bank project areas and to prohibit reprisals against monitors, people who bring complaints or use the feedback mechanism, and people who engage with monitors. Insist publicly and privately that a condition of financing is that independent human rights defenders, journalists, and other monitors be able to work without impediments or fear of reprisals.

- Engage a third party monitor fully independent from the government to robustly research and report on compliance with core labor conventions in agriculture, irrigation, and education project areas. Such monitoring should:
  - Include independent civil society organizations;
  - Cover forced and child labor in the cotton sector during the spring field preparation season, as well as in the lead-up to and during the harvest; and
  - Cover forced and child labor in the horticulture sector.

- Establish a confidential and accessible grievance mechanism and provide effective remedies, including legal and financial, to any person who is subjected to forced or child labor in the project areas or otherwise linked to the projects.
To the International Finance Corporation (IFC)

- Suspend disbursements to all cotton sector investments in Uzbekistan until borrowers can demonstrate that they do not source from fields where forced or child labor is used.
- Do not fund companies that have produced products using forced or child labor in Uzbekistan until they have changed their practices and remedied past abuses.
- Conduct and publish an independent audit of banks in Uzbekistan receiving World Bank Group funds to determine whether they have:
  - forced employees to work in the cotton fields or hire replacement workers; or
  - supported or contributed to forced labor, child labor, or other abuses linked to the cotton sector through their investments or conduct.
- Based on this audit, require the banks to implement the necessary reforms.

To the World Bank Group Board of Executive Directors

- Direct World Bank and IFC management to implement the above recommendations and report to the Board quarterly on their progress.
- For any project in Uzbekistan, ensure that an assessment of forced and child labor risk is presented to the Board. Do not approve projects in areas in which forced or child labor are systemic to the very industry the Bank is investing in or investments in companies that have and continue to use products made with forced or child labor.

To the Government of Uzbekistan

- Enforce national laws that prohibit the use of forced and child labor in alignment with ratified ILO conventions.
- Make public, high-level policy statements condemning forced labor, specifically including forced labor in the cotton sector, and making clear that all work should be voluntary and fairly compensated.
- Instruct government officials at all levels and citizens that act on behalf of the government not to use coercion to mobilize anyone to work.
• Allow independent journalists, human rights defenders, and other individuals and organizations to document and report concerns about the use of forced or child labor without fear of reprisals.

• Take immediate steps to provide, in practice, effective protection of independent journalists, human rights defenders, and other activists against any actions that may constitute harassment, persecution, or undue interference in the exercise of their professional activities or of their right to freedom of opinion, expression, and association. Ensure that such acts are thoroughly and independently investigated, prosecuted and sanctioned, and that victims are provided with effective remedies.

• Fully implement ILO Convention No. 87 on Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise, which the government ratified in October 2016.

• Initiate a time-bound plan to reform root causes of forced labor in the agriculture sector, including:
  o Cease punitive measures against farmers for debts and not meeting state-mandated production quotas for cotton and other agricultural products;
  o Ensure the state-established procurement prices for cotton and other agricultural products reflect the costs of production, including the cost of voluntary labor at market rates, and, over time abolish the state monopsony on cotton purchasing; and
  o Increase financial transparency in the agriculture sector, including by ensuring national budgets reviewed by the Oliy Majlis include expenditures and income in the agriculture sector and ensuring taxes paid in the sector go to the national budget.

To the International Labour Organization (ILO)

• Insist publicly and at the highest levels that independent monitors be able to work unimpeded and safely, highlighting that this is a key indicator of the government's good faith and a requirement for ILO assistance. Raise concerns about attacks on independent monitors in all ILO reports on Uzbekistan.

• Cease providing a monitoring role for the World Bank, instead focusing on its core mission in Uzbekistan: to promote fundamental labor rights and to devise programs promoting decent work for all.
• Include the International Union of Food, Agricultural, Hotel, Restaurant, Catering, Tobacco and Allied Workers’ Associations (IUF), which represents agriculture workers, in discussions planning and developing methodologies for the ILO’s work in Uzbekistan.

**To Indorama and Other Textile Companies Operating in Uzbekistan**

• Adopt, publish, and implement a clear policy commitment to respect human rights, embedded in all relevant business functions.

• Identify and assess actual and potential adverse human rights impacts in the company’s supply chain and prevent and mitigate adverse impacts, particularly forced and child labor as well as other labor abuses. If the company cannot address the significant risk of forced and child labor in its supply chain in Uzbekistan, cease sourcing cotton from Uzbekistan.

• To avoid perpetuating forced and child labor, ensure that pricing and sourcing contracts adequately reflect the cost to suppliers of labor.

• Establish regular and rigorous internal and third party monitoring in Indorama’s supply chain, including through unannounced inspections. Engage qualified, experienced, and independent monitors trained in labor rights. Include private, confidential interviews with workers, as well as farmers, as components of inspections. Make the results of internal and third party monitoring public.

• Regularly publicly disclose all farms from which cotton is sourced, indicate the level of production, and disclose when the unit was most recently inspected by independent monitors.

• Verify and publicly report whether adverse human rights impacts are addressed.

• Establish a meaningful and effective complaint mechanism whereby people can submit complaints about labor abuses or other human rights violations without fear of reprisal. Ensure that adversely affected people can secure remedy for being subjected to labor abuses or other abuses and receive appropriate protection from reprisals, including legal representation to defend themselves against vexatious lawsuits or criminal complaints filed by the government.
To Commercial Banks Operating in Uzbekistan

- Adopt and implement a clear policy commitment to respect human rights, embedded in all relevant business functions.

- Identify and assess actual and potential adverse human rights impacts in all investments and prevent and mitigate adverse impacts, particularly coercion of farmers, forced and child labor, and other labor abuses. Only provide funding to activities in which the bank can address the significant risk of forced labor or other human rights abuses.

- Do not require employees to harvest cotton or pay for replacement workers.

- Establish regular and rigorous internal and third party monitoring of investments in which there is a risk of forced labor, child labor, or other abuses, including through unannounced inspections. Engage qualified, experienced, and independent monitors trained in labor rights. Include private, confidential interviews with workers, as well as farmers, as components of inspections. Make the results of internal and third party monitoring public.

- Establish a meaningful and effective complaint mechanism whereby people can submit complaints about any concerns about labor or other human rights abuses in the bank’s investments without fear of reprisal. Ensure that adversely affected people can secure remedy for being subjected to labor or other abuses and appropriate protection from retaliation.
Methodology

This report is based on research carried out by the Uzbek-German Forum for Human Rights (Uzbek-German Forum) in Uzbekistan during the 2015 fall cotton harvest, spring cotton planting and weeding season in 2016, and the 2016 fall harvest.

Twenty-two Uzbek-German Forum monitors researched whether there was forced or child labor in Uzbekistan’s cotton fields in the 2015 harvest from September to November, 20 monitors in the 2016 planting and weeding season from April to June, and 18 monitors in the 2016 harvest in the country’s Andijan, Jizzakh, Kashkadarya, Syrdarya, and Tashkent regions, and the Beruni, Ellikkala, Turtkul, districts in the Republic of Karakalpakstan, an autonomous region in Northwestern Uzbekistan, covering 160,000 square kilometers (62,000 square miles). In addition, monitors conducted research in Fergana in 2016, in Bukhara in 2015, and undertook a fact-finding mission to Khorezm in fall 2015. In 2017 Human Rights Watch interviewed seven Uzbeks outside the country, four who worked for the 2016 cotton harvest, one who monitored the harvest, and two who both worked for the harvest and monitored for abuses.

From September to November 2015 the Uzbek-German Forum conducted in-depth interviews in private with 98 people, in May and June 2016 with 63, and from September to December 2016 with 89. Interviewees included schoolteachers, college and university students, farmers, employees of government institutions, including mahalla committees, medical professionals, entrepreneurs, and children. In addition, the Uzbek-German Forum spoke briefly to approximately 400 people during visits to cotton fields, mobilization sites where people gathered to be taken to the fields, and relevant institutions, including schools, colleges, universities, hospitals, clinics, and local government offices in 2015, and approximately 300 people in 2016.

In early to mid-September 2015 and 2016, when the government mobilized the largest number of workers to pick cotton for extended shifts (as opposed to daily shifts close to their homes), the Uzbek-German Forum visited hokimiats (regional and district administrations) and other locations where workers were gathered to be sent to the fields, usually by bus.
In 2015 the Uzbek-German Forum visited five cotton fields in Khorezm, Bukhara, Jizzakh, and Tashkent regions, and six facilities that were being used to house cotton pickers in the Khorezm and Syrdarya regions. In 2016 the Uzbek-German Forum visited 34 cotton fields, including fields in all regions it monitored, and 6 worker housing facilities. The Uzbek-German Forum visited dozens of hospitals and clinics, educational institutions, government establishments, and large markets throughout the 2015 and 2016 cotton harvests.

As a result of government interference in monitoring efforts, several monitors, facing severe reprisals, had to stop their monitoring work. Only one monitor was able to work openly in 2016.

The Uzbek-German Forum also gathered information from documentary sources, including orders signed by directors of enterprises to send workers to the harvest or to weed cotton, decrees by hokims (city mayors and district and regional governors) ordering employees of public institutions to participate in the harvest, ledgers tracking labor mobilization from public institutions, and notes signed by students and others declaring their “voluntary participation in the cotton harvest.” The Uzbek-German Forum also monitored local newspapers and social media posts, and in 2016, posted a request for information about the cotton harvest on the website of Ozodlik, the Uzbek-language service of Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty. They received more than 50 messages, which were used to corroborate information gathered during interviews.

Interviews conducted by the Uzbek-German Forum were conducted in Uzbek or Russian, without translation. Several of the Uzbek-German Forum’s monitors themselves participated in reporting on the cotton harvest.
in the cotton harvest, forced by the government in the line of their primary employment. Because of the significant risk of retaliation in Uzbekistan, names have been withheld or replaced by pseudonyms to protect identities throughout this report, and other identifying information has been removed as necessary. In some cases we have removed all identifying information including the region to ensure that those involved are not identifiable.

To explain Uzbekistan’s cotton system, in addition to consulting official sources, Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum relied on two key papers that corroborate the Uzbek-German Forum’s field research over the past eight years. The first, “Uzbekistan’s Cotton Sector: Financial Flows and Distribution of Resources,” by Bakhodyr Muradov and Alisher Ilkhamov, is based in part on information provided by a former Uzbek government official writing under the pseudonym Bakhodyr Muradov. This paper provides new information on the cotton financing scheme, flow of resources, and costs of cotton production that has not been published elsewhere. The second is “A Comparative Study of Cotton Production in Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan,” by Anastasiya Shtaltovna and Anna-Katharina Hornidge.

Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum met with the World Bank and the International Labour Organization (ILO) on numerous occasions over the past several years, often together with other representatives from the Cotton Campaign, a global coalition of human rights, labor, investor, and business organizations dedicated to eradicating forced and child labor in cotton production. Relevant information obtained from these meetings is reflected in this report.

Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum wrote to the World Bank, the IFC, the government of Uzbekistan, the ILO, and Indorama on August 15, 2016 and in May 2017, as well as Hamkorbank, and Asaka Bank on August 15, 2016 seeking their response to the findings of this report. All the letters are online at https://www.hrw.org/news/2017/06/06/we-cant-refuse-pick-cotton-uzbekistan-report-letters.

Indorama and Hamkorbank responded to our letters but declined to directly answer our questions. Indorama’s responses are integrated throughout this report. Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum met the World Bank and IFC in September 2016;
information provided by the two organizations is integrated throughout the report. The government of Uzbekistan, ILO, and Asaka Bank did not respond to our letters.
I. Uzbekistan’s Cotton System and the World Bank

Uzbekistan’s Cotton System, Forced Labor, and Past Concerns of Widespread Child Labor

The Uzbek government exerts direct control over the cotton sector from the top down, with officials at every level involved in implementing the forced labor system. Annually the government forces citizens to prepare cotton fields and pick cotton, and farmers to deliver production quotas, all under threat of penalty. A 2014 presidential decree illustrates the close involvement of high-level central government officials in cotton production and harvesting. The decree gives personal responsibility for the harvest to key central officials and regional and district governors, and gives the prime minister authority for the decree’s execution.

Top officials set the national cotton production target each year. The prime minister issues quotas to the regional hokims, or governors, who, with the state-controlled cotton association, responsible for raw cotton procurement and ginning, impose production

---


quotas on farmers through their land lease agreements and procurement contracts. Farmers, who do not own their land but lease it from the government, are obligated to sell cotton to one of the state-controlled gins at the state price. The Ministry of Finance sets the price paid to farmers below the government’s own estimate of production costs. The government also sets the rates paid to pickers, which are substantially lower than market wages.

The government controls the inputs for cotton production through joint-stock companies, which are co-owned by the government and individuals. These companies have a monopoly over each input or service needed for cotton production. The Ministry of Finance controls the flow of expenditures and income for cotton and cotton seed production through a cashless system of credit managed by the agricultural fund, called the Selkhozfond. According to a credible study of the financial flows of the cotton industry, the Selkhozfond, housed in the Ministry of Finance and controlled by high-level officials, does not publicly report income or expenditures.

---

5 Swinkels, Romanova, and Kochkin, p. 28. The Uzbek-German Forum reviewed land lease and procurement contracts of two farmers. Copies of these contracts are on file with the Uzbek-German Forum.
9 Muradov and Ilkhamov, p. 18. The Selkhozfond was established by presidential decree, Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, “On the establishment of a fund for the accounting for agricultural products procured for state
The *Selkhozfond* transfers funds into designated accounts for cotton production at commercial banks and disburses to farmers' accounts according to the farmer's purchase contract. Rather than providing cash, banks record payments to the accounts of input suppliers on behalf of farmers. Following the harvest, the *Selkhozfond* pays farmers for the

---


Muradov and Ilkhamov, p. 19; Shaltovna and Hornidge, “A Comparative Study of Cotton Production in Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan,” p. 17. Commercial banks provide loans to farmers at 3 percent interest, 1 percent for the *Selkhozfond* and 2 percent for the bank, for up to 18 months, and with a prohibition on using the loans for any purpose other than cotton production.
cotton delivered to state-controlled gins by depositing money into their accounts that must be used to repay the banks, including for interest, before it is used for any other purpose.11

Under the authority of the central government and with the support of the commercial banks, officials enforce production quotas assigned to farmers and debts owed by farmers to the government via the banks by confiscating farmers’ land and other property, bringing criminal charges, and using physical and verbal abuse against farmers.12 In 2015 the government launched an agricultural “re-optimization” plan to reduce the size of agricultural land allotments and to take over land of farmers who failed to meet cotton quotas.13 It also implemented a plan known as “Cleaver” (Oibolta in Uzbek), under which local officials repossessed the land and possessions of farmers who had failed to meet production quotas for cotton or wheat or incurred debts.14 The plan and other punitive measures continued in 2016.15

---

11 Ibid.
On a conference call with local authorities and farmers on October 12, 2015, then-Prime Minister Mirziyoyev ordered local officials to use court bailiffs and police to take property from indebted farmers. A farmer who was on the call told Radio Ozodlik that the prime minister said, “Go to the homes of farmers in debt, who can't repay their credit, take their cars, livestock, and if there are none, take the slate from their roofs!”\(^{16}\) In 2016 farmers told the Uzbek-German Forum that they would again face consequences for failure to meet their daily harvest and annual cotton production quotas.\(^{17}\) One farmer, who only fulfilled 70 percent of his cotton quota in 2016, said, “They will use Shavkat Mirziyoyev's ‘Cleaver’ regime against me. It was like that last year. I had to sell all my livestock and turn in [the money] instead of cotton.”\(^{18}\)

The government also appeared to assign new penalties to farmers who fail to meet cotton production quotas in effect for contracts signed as of July 20, 2016. The Uzbek-German Forum obtained a copy of a “Warning Letter” sent to cotton farmers which states that they will be subject to a court proceeding for failure to fulfill the production requirements as well as incur personal financial liability for credit advanced.\(^{19}\)

The prime minister oversees implementation of the cotton production plan, including through regular conference calls with regional officials and farmers.\(^{20}\) Regional and local *hokims* bear responsibility for mobilizing labor to harvest cotton and perform spring fieldwork.\(^{21}\) These officials, in turn, impose mobilization quotas on public sector officials,

---

\(^{16}\) “Премьер-министр Узбекистана начал 'убийственную' кампанию против фермеров [The Prime Minister of Uzbekistan has Begun a ‘Murderous’ Campaign Against Farmers],” Radio Ozodlik, October 14, 2015, http://rus.ozodlik.org/content/article/27305585.html. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with farmer, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 6, 2015; farmer, Kashkadarya, December 11, 2015; and farmer, Uchuprik district, Fergana, November 15, 2016.

\(^{17}\) Uzbek-German Forum interviews with farmer, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 30, 2016; farmer, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 15, 2016; farmer, Buvaidin district, Fergana, November 9, 2016; farmer, Uchuprik district, Fergana, November 15, 2016; and farmer, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 13, 2016.

\(^{18}\) Uzbek-German Forum interview with farmer, Buvaidin district, Fergana, November 9, 2016.

\(^{19}\) The letter, a form to be filled in for each farmer, states that it stems from Presidential Order of February 1, 2016 on “2016 cotton varieties arrangements and forecast of production volumes,” ПК-2484-сом. It contains signature lines for seven district officials, including the *hokim*, prosecutor, and head of the police. Warning Letter to Farmers, attached at Appendix I.


\(^{21}\) Swinkels, Romanova, and Kochkin, “Assessing the Social Impact of Cotton Harvest Mechanization in Uzbekistan,” p. 28. See, for example, the resolution of the *hokim* of the city of Tashkent, “On the mass mobilization of cotton pickers to the Jizzakh and Syrdarya regions due to the start of the 2014 cotton harvest,” Resolution No. 719, August 28, 2014.
such as the heads of the regional and local departments of education and health, and heads of enterprises, to mobilize workers from their sectors. Department heads allocate quotas to school, college, university, and hospital directors who, in turn, require their employees and students, in the case of colleges, universities, and some schools, to perform cotton work. Officials also impose recruitment and harvesting quotas on mahalla, or neighborhood council committees. Officials at every level risk losing their jobs if they fail to deliver their quotas for labor and cotton, and, in turn, threaten their employees with loss of jobs and other penalties if they refuse to work on the cotton fields.

As discussed below, through this chain of command, the government has forced students, in some cases children, teachers, doctors, nurses, people receiving social welfare, and employees of government agencies and private businesses to the cotton fields, against their will and under threat of penalty.


Swinkels, Romanova, and Kochkin, p. 29. Uzbek-German-Forum interviews with farmer from Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 9, 2015; teacher, Yakkabag district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; school director, district withheld, Fergana, September 29, 2016; college teacher 2 Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 5, 2016; schoolteacher, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; and college teacher, Kokand, Fergana, September 20, 2016.

Uzbek-German Forum interviews with chairperson of mahalla committee, district and region withheld, November 15, 2015; mahalla employee, district and region withheld, November 23, 2016; mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016; mahalla resident, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 13, 2016; and mahalla resident, Fergana, September 15, 2016.

Uzbek-German Forum interviews with mahalla chairperson, district and region withheld, November 15, 2015; college teacher, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; college teacher, Yakkabag district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; medical worker, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 10, 2015; medical worker Alat district, Bukhara, November 7, 2015; public utility employee, Balakchi district, Andijan, November 16, 2015; schoolteacher 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 5, 2016; nurse, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, December 2, 2016; schoolteacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 23, 2016; school director, district withheld, Fergana, September 29, 2016; college teacher, Kokand, Fergana district, September 20, 2016; farmer, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 13, 2016; and college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016.

The Uzbek Government’s Forced Labor System
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ILO Committee of Experts’ Concerns

Since 2005, the ILO Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations has expressed concerns about reports of forced and child labor in the cotton industry and the government’s failure to eliminate it in observations under Conventions 29, 105, and 182. In 2016, the Committee once again urged the government to eliminate the use of compulsory labor of public and private sector workers, as well as students, in cotton farming.

Reduction in Child Labor

Prior to 2014, officials systematically forced children to work in the cotton fields, primarily through school officials, although this practice has diminished following sustained international pressure. Child labor began to decline in 2012 after the European Parliament deferred a textile trade deal with the Uzbek government until ILO observers confirmed that concrete reforms have been implemented and yielded substantial results.


in such a way that the practice of forced labour and child labour is effectively in the process of being eradicated....”  

29 The reduction of child labor accelerated in 2013 after the US downgraded the country to Tier 3 in the 2013 US Trafficking in Persons report.  

30 In 2014 the Uzbek government for the first time did not systematically mobilize 16 and 17-year-old college students to harvest cotton.  

World Bank and Uzbekistan  

Uzbekistan joined the World Bank in 1992. The Bank’s engagement with Uzbekistan’s agriculture sector began with the 1995 Cotton Sub-Sector Improvement Project, which was aimed at liberalizing cotton prices and privatizing the cotton seed industry.  

32 Despite the Uzbek government’s resistance to recommendations to open the government-controlled industry, the Bank has continued lending to the sector.  

33 The Bank has only acknowledged and sought to address forced and child labor in the sector in recent years.  

---


Complaint Filed Against the World Bank

In September 2013, victims of forced labor filed a complaint with the World Bank’s independent accountability mechanism, the Inspection Panel, alleging that one of its agriculture investments, Rural Enterprise Support Project II (RESP II), was contributing to forced and child labor. In the project funded the government to finance farmers and agribusinesses through commercial banks. The complainants argued that the Bank had not fully recognized and analyzed the problem of forced and child labor and had not put in place adequate measures to prevent Bank funding from being used on agricultural lands on which forced and child labor are practiced.

In its initial report, the Inspection Panel noted that “a plausible link does exist between the project and the alleged harms” of forced and child labor and significant issues of policy compliance. In response, World Bank management promised to implement measures to mitigate risks of perpetuating forced and child labor in its projects. Because of these promises, the Inspection Panel did not undertake a full investigation, viewing the proposed mitigation measures as adequate. The complainants strongly disagreed.

---


New World Bank Group Investments

Since the 2013 complaint the World Bank Group has increased its financing of agriculture projects in Uzbekistan and in 2015 and 2016 was providing US$518.75 million for irrigation and to finance farmers, through commercial banks, to invest in new technology.\textsuperscript{40} In addition, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) has loaned Indorama Kokand Textile, $40 million to expand its textile plant.\textsuperscript{41} This project has been subject to a formal complaint with the IFC’s accountability mechanism, discussed below.\textsuperscript{42}

\textsuperscript{40} The World Bank funded a portion of the following projects: $74.76 million Rural Enterprise Support Project Phase II, approved by the Board of Executive Directors on June 12, 2008 and $40 million in additional financing, approved September 11, 2012 (closed on December 31, 2016); $81.85 million Ferghana Valley Water Resources Management project, approved September 24, 2009; $120.70 million Sustainable Agriculture and Climate Change Mitigation project, approved January 29, 2013, spearheaded by the Global Environment Facility (GEF); $337.43 million South Karakalpakstan Water Resources Management Project, approved June 12, 2014; and $183.13 million Horticulture Development Project, also approved June 12, 2014.


\textsuperscript{42} See below, Chapter VI, Subsection “Complaint Filed Against IFC Investments,” p. 93.
II. Evidence of Forced and Child Labor and Links to World Bank Group Projects

During the 2015 and 2016 cotton harvests the Uzbek government required farmers to grow cotton and mobilized students, teachers, medical workers, other government employees, and private-sector employees to harvest cotton, all pursuant to government policy and under threat of penalty. The penalties threatened and imposed by a broad range of state authorities included job loss, loss of child welfare benefits and other welfare payments, academic penalties for students, including expulsion from college or university, and threats of prosecution and violence. Regional and local authorities acted under the authority of the central government and relied on the involvement of many people, including mahalla committee chairpersons, directors of schools, colleges, universities, hospitals and medical centers, private and public enterprises, and government agencies, including the tax authorities, police, and prosecutors.

Child labor continued to be a problem during the cotton harvest, despite significant progress in curtailing it. In 2015 and 2016 some schools forced children as young as 10 and 11 years old to pick cotton. Although the government has made policy commitments and issued orders that children should not work in cotton fields, the intense pressure to fulfill quotas led some officials to resort to child labor.

Section A presents evidence of the ongoing, systematic use of forced labor throughout the country’s cotton sector, emphasizing the involuntary nature of the work and the menace of penalty, the two core components of the definition of forced labor. It also presents evidence of forced child labor in certain regions. World Bank-funded agriculture and irrigation projects are being implemented in 10 of Uzbekistan’s 12 regions as well as in Karakalpakstan: Andijan, Bukhara, Fergana, Kashkadarya, Khorezm, Jizzakh, Namangan,

---

Samarkand, Syrdarya, Tashkent. Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum documented evidence of forced labor in six of these regions as well as in Karakalpakstan. The World Bank does not publicly report which farms in these regions benefit from Bank-financed projects. Similarly, Indorama, which the IFC has invested in, does not report even in the vaguest terms where in Uzbekistan the cotton it processes is grown. Neither Indorama nor the IFC were willing to disclose the districts where the gins from which Indorama sources cotton are located. Due to the widespread nature of forced labor in Uzbekistan, it is highly likely that the government forced people to work on farms benefiting from World Bank Group projects.

The World Bank is loaning the Uzbek government US$260.79 million to improve irrigation in parts of Beruni, Ellikkala, and Turtkul districts in the autonomous Republic of Karakalpakstan. Farmers are required to grow cotton on a significant portion of farms covered by the project. In section B, below, this report disaggregates evidence on forced and child labor specifically from these districts because the defined project area makes it feasible to document labor abuses directly within the project area and because the government committed to comply with laws on forced and child labor in this area. Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum documented evidence of forced labor in six of these regions as well as in Karakalpakstan. The World Bank does not publicly report which farms in these regions benefit from Bank-financed projects. Similarly, Indorama, which the IFC has invested in, does not report even in the vaguest terms where in Uzbekistan the cotton it processes is grown. Neither Indorama nor the IFC were willing to disclose the districts where the gins from which Indorama sources cotton are located. Due to the widespread nature of forced labor in Uzbekistan, it is highly likely that the government forced people to work on farms benefiting from World Bank Group projects.

The World Bank is loaning the Uzbek government US$260.79 million to improve irrigation in parts of Beruni, Ellikkala, and Turtkul districts in the autonomous Republic of Karakalpakstan. Farmers are required to grow cotton on a significant portion of farms covered by the project. In section B, below, this report disaggregates evidence on forced and child labor specifically from these districts because the defined project area makes it feasible to document labor abuses directly within the project area and because the government committed to comply with laws on forced and child labor in this area. Human

44 See map. See also, Chapter I, Subsection “World Bank and Uzbekistan,” above, p. 24.
49 Cotton is grown on 36,000 hectares of the 70,000 hectares of arable land within this project area: Ibid, p. 8.
50 World Bank, “South Karakalpakstan Water Resources Management Improvement Project: Project Appraisal Document,” May 14, 2014, p. IX, C.1. Section I, Schedule 2: “(a) The Recipient shall ensure that the Project is implemented in accordance with applicable environment and social standards and practices and in compliance with applicable laws and regulations on child and forced labor; (b) Without limitations to the provisions of paragraph (a) above, the Recipient shall, at the beginning of the cotton harvesting season during each year of Project implementation, instruct any involved local authorities within the Project Area to ensure strict compliance with applicable laws and regulations on child and forced labor while organizing cotton harvesting.” See also, World Bank, “South Karakalpakstan Water Resources Management Improvement Project: Loan Agreement,” October 29, 2014, pp. 6 and 69, http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/448461468310509839/pdf/RAD65051037.pdf (accessed June 6, 2017). This projects loan and financing agreements are identical.
Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum found that officials forced adults and, in some cases children, to pick cotton and weed cotton fields in these districts in violation of the government’s agreements with the World Bank. According to its agreements with the government, the World Bank can suspend this loan upon receiving credible reports of forced or child labor occurring in the project area.\[^{51}\]

A. Ongoing Evidence of Systematic Forced Labor and Continuing Child Labor in Uzbekistan’s Cotton Sector

In both the 2015 and 2016 harvests, as in previous years, government officials at all levels oversaw cotton production and harvesting, including ordering the mobilization of workers. According to a regional prosecutor, the Cabinet of Ministers issued a protocol on July 20, 2016, which “decreed to involve all employees in the cotton harvest.”\[^{52}\] Regional and district officials implemented the central government’s orders by imposing labor mobilization quotas on public institutions for cotton picking during the harvest, as well as for planting and weeding in the spring. In addition to labor quotas, government officials required institutions to meet harvest quotas by picking enough cotton or paying money to cover the shortfall.\[^{53}\] District *hokims* and law enforcement officials monitored how many workers each institution contributed and how much cotton each institution delivered at daily cotton meetings.\[^{54}\]

\[^{51}\] Ibid. 4.01.(b) of the Loan and Financing Agreements provide that the World Bank can suspend the loan if it “has received evidence, that it considers credible, of the use of child or forced labor in connection with the Project activities or within the Project Area.”


\[^{53}\] See chart

\[^{54}\] The Uzbek-German Forum obtained copies of daily ledgers prepared by departments of education to the hokimiat in three different regions that record how many cotton pickers each educational institution sent to the harvest each day and list the person responsible or brigade number. Two of the ledgers were from 2016 and one from 2015. The ledger from one region (2015), Appendix III, also records by name the amount of cotton each institution picked, and lists by name people who did not meet the quota or who left the fields early. The Uzbek-German Forum also obtained a 2015 monitoring report Appendix IV, prepared by the district *hokimiat* in a fourth region that lists each farm in the district and the public sector institutions assigned to provide workers to each. It lists the labor and picking quota for each institution and records daily the number of workers actually provided and the amount of cotton actually picked. In addition, the Uzbek-German Forum obtained a 2015 report to a city *hokimiat* signed by the head of the district department of education that provides a detailed record of the labor and picking quotas for each educational institution, the farms to which each was assigned, and the actual labor provided and cotton picked. The documents are on file with the Uzbek-German Forum. Transcript of Cotton Meeting in Khazarasp, Khorezm, September 29, 2015, on file with Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum.
A statement calling people to work during the cotton harvest, published in Фаргона хакикати [Fergana Truth], September 20, 2016. The statement is signed by the Nuroniy Fund, the Mahalla Fund, the youth movement Kamolot and the Women’s Committee, all government-affiliated civil society organizations. © 2016 UGF

Officials threatened, or sometimes punished, heads of institutions that did not meet their quota with disciplinary action and dismissal.55 Heads of institutions threatened employees and students with dismissal, expulsion, or disciplinary consequences to induce them to work, and heads of mahallas threatened residents with loss of benefits, as described

55 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with mahalla chairperson, district and region withheld, November 15, 2015; mahalla employee, Andijan, November 20, 2015; college teacher, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; college teacher, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 9, 2016; college teacher, Shahrisabz, district, Kashkadarya, November 12, 2015; mahalla activist, district and region withheld, November 23, 2016; mahalla resident, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 13, 2016; college teacher 2, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 5, 2016; schoolteacher, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; kindergarten employee, district withheld, Jizzakh, December 5, 2016; schoolteacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 23, 2016; medical worker, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 11, 2016; college teacher, Kokand, Fergana, September 20, 2016; mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016; and bank employee, Andijan, September 17, 2016.
Some institutions, especially in the health and education sectors, shut down or operated at reduced capacity during the harvests. Some institutions, especially in the health and education sectors, shut down or operated at reduced capacity during the harvests. In 2016 a farmer told the Uzbek-German Forum that representatives of the government-owned cotton gins in some regions amended their contracts with farmers. The amendments specify that the farmers accept the obligation to comply with Uzbek laws and international obligations, in particular ILO Convention No. 29 concerning Forced or Compulsory Labour and ILO Convention No. 105 concerning Abolition of Forced Labour, when recruiting workers to harvest cotton. Considering the government's control over the cotton sector, including ordering farmers to produce cotton, setting the price for cotton below production costs, setting the price paid to pickers, and in mobilizing and forcing people to work in the harvest, this raises serious concern that such provisions could be used to scapegoat farmers for the use of forced labor. A farmer explained, “[Pickers] don’t

---

56 See below, “Penalties and Threats of Penalties for Refusing to Work,” p. 41.
57 For example, of the 30 employees of a rural medical clinic, 4 worked at the clinic during the harvest—the guard, an accountant, a doctor, and a nurse, while the rest picked cotton: Uzbek-German Forum Kashkadarya monitor’s report, October 15, 2015. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with medical worker, Alat district, Bukhara, November 7, 2015; doctor, Buz district, Andijan, November 13, 2015; public utility employee, Balikchi district; Andijan, November 16, 2015; university student, Andijan city, Andijan, November 2, 2015; college teacher, Markhamat district, Andijan, November 7, 2015; college student, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 3, 2015; college student, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 8, 2015; college teacher, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; nurse, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 10, 2015; college teacher, Yakkabag district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; nurse, Yakkabag district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; college teacher, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 12, 2015; college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; college student, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 15, 2016; schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; schoolchild. Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 2, 2016; schoolchild, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 4, 2016; kindergarten employee, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 8, 2016; college teacher, Andijan, November 21, 2016; schoolteacher, Buvauidin district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; nurse, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 10, 2016; college teacher 1, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; university student 2, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 5, 2016; kindergarten employee, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 9, 2016; university student, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 5, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 4, 2016; schoolteacher, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; college student, Kokand, Fergana, November 10, 2016; college teacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; nurse, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, December 2, 2016; schoolteacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 23, 2016; schoolteacher, Kuvin district, Fergana, September 25, 2016; college teacher, Kokand, Fergana district, September 20, 2016; nurse, Andijan, November 20, 2016; doctor, district withheld, Andijan, September 18, 2016; and mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016.
58 Uzbek-German Forum interview with farmer, district and region withheld, July 13, 2016.
59 A copy of the amendment is on file with the Uzbek-German Forum and Human Rights Watch, Appendix II.
60 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with farmer, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 9, 2015; farmer 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 10, 2015; farmer, Alynkyl district, Andijan, September 11, 2015; farmer, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 30, 2016; farmer, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 15, 2016; farmer, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 15, 2016; farmer, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 13, 2016; college teacher 1, Andijan, October 20, 2016; and mahalla activist, district and region withheld, November 23, 2016.
come by the will of the farmer. They come on orders from above.” Some farmers told the Uzbek-German Forum that they do not control mobilization or working conditions and risk penalties for failure to harvest their cotton if they refuse workers while the workers, also under pressure to meet quotas, will simply go to work at another farm.

Field research, official government mobilization decrees and labor tracking ledgers, statements by officials, social media postings, and local media reports indicate that government officials required public institutions to send a large proportion of their

---

61 Uzbek-German Forum interview with farmer, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 15, 2016.
62 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with farmer, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 6, 2015; farmer, Zarbdor district, Jizzakh, November 9, 2015; farmer 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 10, 2015; and farmer, Buz district, Andijan, November 18, 2016.
employees at a time to pick cotton. Institutions included kindergartens, schools, colleges, universities, local government administrations, clinics, hospitals, and government enterprises. In some institutions, this meant that all employees were sent to pick cotton at some point during the harvest.


64 Human Rights Watch interviews with kindergarten teacher, date and place of interview withheld; school employee from Fergana, date and place withheld. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with medical worker, Buz district, Andijan, November 13, 2015; schoolteacher, Andijan, November 8, 2015; college teacher, Andijan, November 7, 2015; medical worker, Alat district, Bukhara, November 7, 2015; college teacher 1, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; college teacher 2, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; college teacher, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 9, 2015; state enterprise employee, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 6, 2015; hospital employee, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 18, 2015; college teacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; nurse, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; kindergarten teacher, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 13, 2015; nurse, Bayavut, Syrdarya, November 10, 2015; schoolteacher, Bayavut, Syrdarya, November 6, 2015; college teacher, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 2, 2015; college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; kindergarten employee, Shahrisabz
The government also required companies and small business owners to provide workers or to pay. For example, in 2016 the Uzbek-German Forum visited three Hamkorbank branches during the cotton harvest. At one branch, the Uzbek-German Forum was advised that about 30 employees of the bank had been sent to pick cotton. At the other two, the Uzbek-German Forum was advised that the bank had paid to avoid sending employees to the cotton fields because to do so would limit the bank’s ability to provide services.

In 2015 the government also ordered the mobilization of third-year college students and university students of all years to harvest cotton, in some cases even when those students were only 17 years old. In 2016 the government continued to mobilize university students of all years, but the Uzbek-German Forum only documented the mobilization of third-year

---

65 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with state enterprise employee, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 6, 2015; entrepreneur, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 12, 2015; public utility company, Balakchi district, Andijan, November 16, 2015; Assia Shatilova, Chirchik, Tashkent, May 2, 2016; English transcript of audio recording between police officer Laziz Fayziqulov and a shopkeeper in Jizzakh, September 20, 2016, see “You don’t have the right to refuse the orders of the acting president!,” Uzbek-German Forum, September 20, 2016, http://harvestreport.uzbekgermanforum.org/you-dont-have-a-right-to-refuse-orders-of-the-acting-president/ (accessed May 25, 2017). Original audio recording (in Uzbek) available at http://audio.rferl.org/UZ/2016/09/19/6e7cbb76-113b-4ce3-835a-b9379e461a75.mp3 (accessed May 25, 2017); Urgent Message of Hokim A. Dosmukhamedov, Uchtepa district, City of Tashkent.

66 Uzbek-German Forum interview with Hamkorbank employee 1, region A, fall, 2016; and Hamkorbank employee 4, region A, winter, 2017.

67 Uzbek-German Forum interview with Hamkorbank employee 3, region B, fall, 2016; and telephone conversation with Hamkorbank employee 4, region C, fall, 2016.

68 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college students, Andijan, November 2, 2015; Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 3, 2015; Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 8, 2015; Yakkabag district, Kashkadarya, November 5, 2015; Tashkent, September 15, 2015; and Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2015; college teachers, Markhamat district, Andijan, November 7, 2015; Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; Yakkabag district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 3, 2015; and Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 2, 2015; farmer, Zarbdor district, Jizzakh, November 9, 2015. Uzbek-German Forum Syrdarya monitor’s reports, September and October, 2015; Uzbek-German Forum Kashkadarya monitor’s reports, September and October, 2015. Local media outlets also reported that college and university students picked cotton in large numbers: “Ок олтинимизни йигиб териб олиш кизгин паллага кирди [The Active Period for the Harvest of White Gold Has Arrived],” Andizhonoma, September 20, 2015; “Шу юрт фарзандиман деган хакикий мададкорни урни-пахтазорда [The Place of a True Son of the Fatherland is in the Cotton Field],” Andizhonoma, September 19, 2015; “Ангренцы на полях Буки [Angren Workers on the Fields of Buka],” Angrenskaya Pravda, September 11, 2015. For mobilization of students younger than 18, see below, “Ongoing Child Labor,” p. 46.
college students in Andijan, Fergana, and Kashkadarya during class time, including some who were 17 years old.69

The ILO determined from survey data that 2.8 million people participated in the 2015 harvest.70 On the basis of this data, the ILO suggests that approximately two-thirds were recruited voluntarily, a “minority recruited involuntarily,” and the remaining were “to some degree reluctant,” due to poor working conditions and wages.71 Given present conditions, there is every reason to suspect that many of these “reluctant” workers—quite possibly a large majority—cannot be considered voluntary.

Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum believe that the number of forced laborers is likely significantly higher than the ILO estimate for the following reasons. First, the ILO’s figures should be read with due regard to the government’s widespread coaching

---

69 Two college teachers in Syrdarya told the Uzbek-German Forum that college students in the region did not pick cotton in 2016: Uzbek-German Forum interview with college teacher 1, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; college teacher 2 Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016. College teachers in Jizzakh said that students picked cotton on weekends but not during class time: Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016 college teacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; see also letter from Jizzakh farmer to the Uzbek-German Forum, March 8, 2017. However, a college teacher in Jizzakh said that students picked cotton in teachers’ names. Uzbek-German Forum interview with college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016. Students and teachers in Andijan, Fergana, and Kashkadarya regions monitored by the Uzbek-German Forum said that students were mobilized to pick cotton: Uzbek-German Forum interview with college student, Kokand, Fergana, November 10, 2016; college student, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 15, 2016; college teacher, Andijan, November 21, 2016; university student 1, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 5, 2016; university student 2, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 5, 2016. See also, Uzbek-German Forum interviews with university student, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2016; parent of university student who picked cotton, Jizzakh, December 6, 2016; and university student, Gulistan district, Syrdarya, November 7, 2016.


71 Ibid.
of Uzbek citizens to tell the ILO and others that they participate in the cotton harvest voluntarily.\textsuperscript{72}

Second, as discussed below, with few exceptions, when interviewed in a confidential setting, people told the Uzbek-German Forum and Human Rights Watch that they did not undertake this work voluntarily, reluctantly, or due to social pressure, but rather because the government required it of them and if they refused, they were told explicitly or reasonably believed they would be punished. It is quite possible that a significant proportion of the people the ILO interviewed did not feel comfortable alleging that they had been forced to work out of fear of reprisal.

Public sector employees, who are among the lowest paid in the country, and people living in poverty are particularly susceptible to forced labor, as they are as they are unable to risk losing their jobs or welfare benefits by refusing to work and cannot afford to pay people to work in their place. Some have personal connections to local officials and are not asked or are excused from working in the cotton fields.\textsuperscript{73} A college teacher explained that a few privileged teachers are excused from working in the cotton harvest because they are related to influential government officials, and even the college director “can’t talk to them.” “Ordinary teachers” have to pay for a replacement worker or go to the cotton fields, she said. Otherwise “they are dismissed.”\textsuperscript{74}

\textsuperscript{72} See below, Chapter V, Subsection “Third Party Monitoring Insufficient, Misleading, Not Independent,” p. 81.

\textsuperscript{73} Human Rights Watch interview with college teacher, place and date withheld. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with teacher, Andijan city, Andijan, November 8, 2015; and nurse, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 10, 2015.

\textsuperscript{74} Human Rights Watch interview with college teacher, place and date withheld.
Responses to the ILO’s Conclusion that Most Cotton Pickers are Voluntary, Many Reluctant, Few Involuntary

Human Rights Watch shared with several interviewees who had been forced to work in the 2016 cotton harvest the ILO’s conclusion that two-thirds of cotton pickers were voluntary and the remaining were to some degree reluctant, with a minority recruited involuntarily. Below is how some of them responded to this information:

20 percent may go reluctantly, the rest are forced. I work at a school. If it was up to the teachers, no one would want to work in the cotton fields. Nurses are also women [who have significant family responsibilities]. They don’t go reluctantly either, they are forced.... Three or four of the 50 teachers at my school go voluntarily. Others don’t want to go. Those three or four are tired from teaching and would rather pick cotton than teach in school. If they are good pickers, able to pick more than 100kg per day, they get extra ‘presents’ from the government. But teachers like me can’t meet the quotas and can’t earn money from picking cotton. Maybe the ILO conclusion is true from appearance, because people are scared to share the truth, but it doesn’t go underneath to expose reality.

− Schoolteacher, 2017

There are no jobs left in Uzbekistan. Only school, gas, water companies etc. If you refuse to harvest cotton, you will be dismissed and in each person’s place there are 10-15 people waiting to work.

− Independent monitor, 2017

Maybe 10 children might agree to work during the harvest, but the others refuse and we have to run after them.

− School employee, 2017

I like picking cotton. It really rests my brain. If I had a choice I would still go. For my colleagues, some didn’t want to go. It’s not hard for me. I like to pick cotton. It’s hard for others. The school director ordered us to go, saying it was obligatory.

− Kindergarten teacher, 2017

Of course I wouldn’t go if I had the choice. None of my colleagues would either. It’s forced, everyone is forced.... We would intimidate parents if they refused to have their children contribute to the harvest. We would threaten that they would not get their diploma.... In 2016, there were some pregnant women who were college teachers. Those that had powerful connections were not forced and were not required to contribute money. Otherwise, they were still forced to work.

− College teacher, 2017
Involuntary Labor

While many people may accept cotton picking or spring fieldwork as the “cost” of employment in Uzbekistan, this should not be mistaken for voluntariness. In the vast majority of interviews conducted in private, with a promise of anonymity, people described working in the cotton industry because of state-led coercion, emphasizing the real threats of loss of employment or social welfare benefits, or academic difficulties.\textsuperscript{75} With few exceptions, they did not point to patriotism, social pressure, or desire to earn supplemental income as their motivation.\textsuperscript{76} One Uzbek-German Forum monitor, who works undercover, spoke of three exceptions.\textsuperscript{77} First, replacement workers, who are paid both by those they are replacing and the farmer they are picking for. This often includes unemployed rural residents. Second, people who work for the farmer and those that sublease land from the farmer. Third, a minority of low-paid

\textsuperscript{75} Human Rights Watch interview with college teacher, date and place of interview withheld. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with medical worker, Alat district, Bukhara, November 7, 2015; doctor, Buz district, Andijan, November 13, 2015; schoolteacher, Andijan city, Andijan, November 8, 2015; college teacher, Markhamat district, Andijan, November 7, 2015; college student, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 3, 2015; college teacher, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; schoolteacher, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; college teacher, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 9, 2015; college teacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; college teacher, Sharisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 12, 2015; schoolteacher 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2015; schoolteacher 2 Bayavut district, Syrdarya, October 27, 2015; nurse, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 10, 2015; college teacher, Gulistan district, Syrdarya, November 2, 2015; mahalla committee employee, Andijan city, Andijan, November 11, 2015; mahalla resident, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 12, 2015; mahalla committee chairperson, district and region withheld, November 15, 2015; mahalla activist, district and region withheld, November 23, 2016; medical worker, Andijan city, Andijan, November 23, 2016; mahalla resident, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 18, 2016; college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; college student, Shahrizabz district, Kashkadarya, November 15, 2016; schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; kindergarten employee, Shahrizabz district, Kashkadarya, November 8, 2016; college teacher, Andijan, November 21, 2016; schoolteacher, Buaidin district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; university student 1, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 5, 2016; mahalla resident, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 13, 2016; nurse, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 10, 2016; college teacher 2 Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; university student 2, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 5, 2016; college teacher 2 Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; university student, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 5, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 4, 2016; mahalla resident, Fergana, September 15, 2016; kindergarten employee, district withheld, Jizzakh, December 5, 2016; college teacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; nurse, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, December 2, 2016; parent of student who picked cotton, Jizzakh city, Jizzakh, December 6, 2016; schoolteacher, Kuvin district, Fergana, September 25, 2016; medical worker, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 11, 2016; university student, Gulistan district, Syrdarya, November 7, 2016; college teacher, Kokand, Fergana district, September 20, 2016; and mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016.

\textsuperscript{76} Human Rights Watch interview with kindergarten teacher, date and place of interview withheld. Uzbek-German Forum interview with nurse, Kokand city, Fergana, November 13, 2016. The nurse said that while she was ordered to pick cotton and would face consequences for refusal, she views it as her duty.

\textsuperscript{77} Human Rights Watch interview with Uzbek-German Forum monitor, date and place of interview withheld.

“WE CAN’T REFUSE TO PICK COTTON”
public sector employees who are efficient cotton pickers and can gather large amounts of cotton, early in the season, resulting in an additional bonus from farmers. In a rare example, a kindergarten teacher explained that while her school director ordered her and her colleagues to pick cotton and told her that she would be punished if she did not, she would still go if she had a choice because she is highly efficient at picking cotton and enjoys it.  

Most, however, emphasized that they did not pick cotton to supplement their income, but because they were forced.

Cotton is most abundant from early to mid-September, when approximately 75 percent of cotton bolls open. As a result, most voluntary labor occurs during this period, when earning potential is higher and working conditions are better. Thereafter, many workers are able to earn very little and are in the fields involuntarily.

Public sector workers and students emphasized the involuntary nature of their labor in the cotton fields. Unless people had relationships with government officials or the head of
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78 Human Rights Watch interview with kindergarten teacher, date and place of interview withheld.
79 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college teachers, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 3, 2015; Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 12, 2015; Yakhabag district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; schoolteacher, Andijan city, Andijan, November 8, 2015; college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; college teacher 2, Andijan, November 21, 2016; college teacher 1, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; college teacher 2, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; kindergarten employee, district withheld, Jizzakh, December 5, 2016; college teacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; college teacher, Kokand, Fergana, September 20, 2016; college teacher 1, Andijan, October 20, 2016; schoolteacher, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, October 27, 2015; and schoolteacher, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2015; schoolteacher, Yakhabag district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; schoolteacher, Buvidan district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; kindergarten employee, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 8, 2016; kindergarten employee, Uchkuurik district, Fergana, November 9, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 5, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 4, 2016; schoolteacher, Uchkuurik district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; kindergarten employee, district withheld, Jizzakh, December 5, 2016; schoolteacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 23, 2016; schoolteacher, Kuvin district, Fergana, September 25, 2016; and school director, district withheld, Fergana, September 29, 2016. The above-mentioned kindergarten teacher was the one exception to this (see FN 78).
80 Swinkels, Romanova, and Kochkin, p. 13. In 2016, in part of Fergana, farmers planted a different type of cotton which is harvested only once: Human Rights Watch interview with school employee from Fergana, date and place withheld.
81 Swinkels, Romanova, and Kochkin, p. 13; Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college teacher, Andijan, October 20, 2016; lyceum employee, Andijan, October 20, 2016; doctor, Andijan, September 18, 2016; university student, Andijan, September 17, 2016; university student, Andijan, October 20, 2016; college student, Fergana, November 10, 2016; kindergarten employee, Fergana, November 9, 2016; mahalla resident, Fergana, November 13, 2016; university student 1, Fergana, November 5, 2016; university student 2, Fergana, November 5, 2016; college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; college student, Kashkadarya, November 15, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Syrdarya, November 5, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Syrdarya, November 4, 2016; university student, Syrdarya, November 6, 2016; college teacher 1, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; medical worker, Syrdarya, November 11, 2016; nurse, Syrdarya, November 10, 2016; and university student, Syrdarya, November 7, 2016.
82 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Andijan city, Andijan, November 8, 2015; college teacher, Markhamat district, Andijan, November 7, 2015; medical worker, Alat district, Bukhara, November 7, 2015; college teacher, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; college teacher, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 9, 2015; hospital employee, Zaamin
their institution, people who did not wish to pick cotton could only avoid it by directly hiring and paying a replacement worker to pick cotton in their name or by making a payment to an authority, usually a supervisor or administrator.\textsuperscript{83} Parents who did not want their children to pick cotton had little recourse and faced threats.\textsuperscript{84} When the mother of a third-year college student who was ordered to pick cotton kept him home for two days to help her with domestic chores, the college sent a teacher to bring him back to the fields.\textsuperscript{86}
Several people emphasized that pregnant women or people who became ill while picking were not exempted from cotton picking. A woman described working in the harvest because her niece, a college student, had become sick after picking cotton for a month.

The student’s parents told the university that their daughter was sick, but teachers visited their home to force her to continue working so her aunt went in the student’s place.

Workers expressed a strong preference to remain at their regular jobs. A former Andijan mahalla official explained,

The government pays your salary so you will pick or you could be asked to give up your post. Now, there is no work ... so you can't refuse [to pick cotton], you are obligated.... What kind of fool would go to work in the dirt in the cotton fields on a cold day of his own accord instead of sitting inside in a nice warm office? To understand that [picking cotton] is mandatory, you don't have to be a genius and solve puzzles.... We say, ‘cotton is the people’s khashar [communal work].’ But for real khashar ... you go if you want but if you don’t your neighbor doesn't threaten ‘you'll come or else I will do something against you.’

Law enforcement officials supervised the cotton fields, escorted workers, and participated in cotton meetings. Law enforcement, including police, National Security Service (known by its Russian acronym SNB), and prosecutors assisted in mobilizing and supervising workers and enforcing quotas in 2015 and 2016, as in past years. For example, a college teacher explained:

86 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Gulistan district, Syrdarya, September 2015; college teacher 1, Andijan, October 20, 2016; and university student, Gulistan district, Syrdarya, November 7, 2016.
87 Human Rights Watch interview with a woman, date and place of interview withheld.
88 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college teacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; college teacher, Sahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 12, 2015; nurse, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; nurse, Bayavut, Syrdarya, November 10, 2015; schoolteacher, Bayavut, Syrdarya, November 6, 2015; college teacher, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 2, 2015; kindergarten teacher, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 13, 2015; and college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016.
89 Uzbek-German Forum interview with mahalla employee, Andijan, November 20, 2015.
90 Uzbek-German Forum interview with mahalla employee, Andijan, November 20, 2015; kindergarten employee, district and region withheld, December 5, 2016; interview with nurse, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, December 2, 2016; and mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016. Human Rights Watch interviews with two teachers; an independent monitor; and an international monitor, place and dates withheld, 2017; English transcript of audio recording between police officer Laziz Fayziqulov and a shopkeeper in Jizzakh, September 20, 2016. See “You don’t have the right to refuse the orders of the acting president!” and Original audio recording (in Uzbek).
teacher said that parents who did not allow their children to pick cotton would have to answer to the prosecutor. A nurse said that police accompanied mahalla officials going house to house to send people to the fields. A police officer threatened to shut down the business of a shopkeeper who refused to pick cotton, telling him he had no right to refuse the government’s orders.

People told Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum that law enforcement officials supervised them as they gathered in town centers to be transported to the cotton fields, during the evenings following a day’s work, and in the cotton fields, supervising from a tent (shipon) in the center of the field to ensure that people were working and to help enforce quotas. A kindergarten employee said that she was afraid to use the

---

91 Uzbek-German Forum interview with college teacher 2, Andijan, November 21, 2016.
92 Uzbek-German Forum interview with nurse, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, December 2, 2016.
93 English transcript of audio recording between police officer Laziz Fayziqulov and a shopkeeper in Jizzakh. See “You don’t have the right to refuse the orders of the acting president!” and Original audio recording (in Uzbek).
complaint hotlines because she feared repercussions from the prosecutor, who assisted the *hokim* in organizing and supervising fieldwork and insulted workers who did not meet the quota.\(^{94}\) Law enforcement officials also interfered with independent monitors documenting the cotton harvest, including by removing them from fields and destroying notes and photographs.\(^{95}\)

**Penalties and Threats of Penalties for Refusing to Work**

Public sector workers, students, and pensioners emphasized that they worked in the cotton fields because they had been threatened with punishment, often explicitly. Even when people did work in the cotton harvest, if they fell short of the required picking quota they were deemed to have not worked hard enough and punished.

University and college directors and teachers threatened students who attempted to refuse to pick cotton or who did not fulfill the picking quota with academic difficulties, including expulsion, and public shaming.\(^{96}\) Some students and public sector employees were required to sign statements agreeing to pick cotton and to fulfill quotas or agree to suffer

\(^{94}\) Uzbek-German Forum interview with kindergarten employee, district withheld, Jizzakh, December 5, 2016.

\(^{95}\) See Section

\(^{96}\) Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college teacher, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 3, 2015; college student, Yakkbag district, Kashkadarya, November 5, 2015; college teacher, Shahrizob district, Kashkadarya, November 12, 2015; college student, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2015; college teacher, Gulistan, November 2, 2015; university student, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2016; college student, Shahrizob district, Kashkadarya, November 15, 2016; university student 1, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 5, 2016; and university student 2, Uchkuprik district,
expulsion, dismissal, or other sanction. In September 2016 a university student told Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum that she refused to pick cotton or hire a replacement worker. After refusing to pay a bribe to one of her teachers, who offered to include her name in the list of cotton pickers for a price, university officials called her daily and sent her numerous text messages pressuring her to “resolve the cotton question,” and threatened to expel her. Because of the pressure, the student eventually paid an unemployed person to work in her place.

A student at another university also received a text message warning, “Respected master’s students! You must resolve your participation in the cotton harvest within one hour. Today we are compiling information and you are at risk of expulsion. Immediately resolve this issue.” Four students in Kokand told a journalist that they were expelled for avoiding the harvest or requesting exemption from cotton picking, in three cases because they needed to work at better-paying jobs to support their families. College and university teachers said they try to scare parents with threats that their children will be expelled or held back a

---

Fergana, November 5, 2016. For example, a college teacher in Gulistan said that the college monitored the amount students and teachers picked in daily meetings and punished anyone who failed to fulfill the quota by requiring them to stay up all night doing extra work, such as food preparation and cleaning; Uzbek-German Forum interview with college teacher, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 2, 2011. The parent of a third-year student said that teachers humiliated and excoriated his daughter for failing to fulfill the picking quota, which was 60 kg per day, and that she went into debt, which he paid, to cover her food costs: Uzbek-German Forum interview with parent of third-year college student, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2015.


Letter from university student to the Uzbek-German Forum, district and region withheld, October 20, 2016.

Human Rights Watch interview with university student, place and date withheld, 2017.

Text message from university administration to master’s students, region withheld, October 6, 2016. A student at the university sent a screenshot of the message to the Uzbek-German Forum. The student refused to report the threat to the Feedback Mechanism, citing “deep distrust” in the mechanism. Email from university student to the Uzbek-German Forum, region withheld, October 6, 2016.

year unless they pick cotton. First-year university students in Jizzakh told the Uzbek-German Forum that university deans threatened them with prison if they refused to pick cotton for the university.

All teachers interviewed by the Uzbek-German Forum said that officials threatened them should they refuse to pick cotton, usually with dismissal. For example, a college teacher from Syrdarya said, “Refusing to pick cotton amounts to giving up your job.” A kindergarten teacher was dismissed because she and her husband were both ordered to pick cotton by their directors for overnight shifts at the same time, which would leave no one at home to care for their children. When she requested to change her shift, her director gave the teacher the choice to go as ordered or pay 700,000 soum (US$106). As she was unable to pay or leave her children, the director forced her to resign.

Healthcare workers similarly picked cotton or paid for replacement workers against their will and under menace of penalty, usually dismissal. A video sent to Ozodlik shows the
head nurse at a clinic threatening nurses with dismissal if they fail to pick the daily quota and making them sign statements agreeing to “any action” against them if they fail to meet the quota. 109 Two doctors told the Uzbek-German Forum that authorities ordered medical staff to sign two statements before they went to the fields. The first statement said, “I [name] undertake the obligation to participate in the cotton harvest of my own volition. If I do not fulfill the obligation I have undertaken, I agree to submit to any disciplinary punishment.” The second, which would be used should anyone who refused to pick cotton or hire a replacement worker, said, “I [name] request to resign from my job of my own volition.” 110

Regional and district officials threaten the heads of institutions with the loss of their jobs if they fail to deliver quotas and the heads of institutions threaten their staff. 111 A school director said that he risked the hokim and education department punishing him: “At cotton meetings we are told to write our

---


110 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with two doctors in Shahrizabz, Kashkadarya, September 2015.

111 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with mahalla chairperson, district and region withheld, November 15, 2015; college teacher, Zaamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015; college teacher, Yakkabag district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015;
resignations if we don’t want to pick cotton and can’t get our staff to pick.”112 Another said that the hokim threatened to detain him at the hokimiat that day if he did not turn in one ton of cotton.113

Mahalla councils recruited people to pick cotton by threatening to withhold child benefits and other welfare payments, and access to public utilities.114 A mahalla employee explained, “Every day the mahalla needs to send 60 people to the harvest. The easiest way is to send people who come to the mahalla committee to get necessary papers and forms, [if they refuse to pick,] we refuse to give them the papers. They have no alternative—they are forced to pick.”115 Another mahalla employee said that officials at the hokimiat told the mahalla employees to threaten to withhold people’s child welfare payments to coerce them to pick cotton.116 Several women confirmed that they only picked cotton because their mahalla committee had ordered them to and threatened to withhold their child benefits if they refused.117 Another mahalla committee employee said, “On [name withheld] street, no one went to pick cotton and no one gave any money. People from the electric utility came and cut all the cables between the two poles [on the street], threw them in the truck and drove away.”118

112 Uzbek-German Forum interview with school director, district withheld, Fergana, September 29, 2016.
113 Uzbek-German Forum interview with school director, district withheld, Fergana, October 13, 2016.
114 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with mahalla employee, Andijan, November 20, 2015; mahalla resident, Zarbdar district, Jizzakh, November 12, 2015; mahalla employee, district withheld, Jizzakh, November 15, 2015; mahalla resident, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 13, 2016; mahalla resident, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 18, 2016; mahalla resident, Fergana, September 15, 2016; mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016; and mahalla employee, district and region withheld, November 23, 2016.
115 Uzbek-German Forum interview with mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016.
116 Uzbek-German Forum interview with mahalla employee, district and region withheld, November 23, 2016.
117 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with mahalla resident, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 13, 2016; mahalla resident, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 18, 2016; and mahalla resident, Fergana, September 15, 2016; a woman, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; a mother, Turk Tul district, Karakalpakstan, June 10, 2016; Zulfia Z. [not her real name], Turk Tul district, Karakalpakstan, June 10, 2016; a woman with her 7-year-old child, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; a woman, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and medical technician, Turk Tul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2016.
118 Uzbek-German Forum interview with mahalla employee, district and region withheld, November 23, 2016.
Senior management at some businesses also threatened employees with loss of jobs or other disciplinary measures if they refused to pick cotton, and local authorities pressured entrepreneurs to participate in the harvest, threatening them with fines, burdensome inspections, and revocation of licenses. \(^{119}\) Assia Shatilova, a shopkeeper in Chirchik, in the Tashkent region, told the Uzbek-German Forum that she refused to pay 750,000 soum (US$125) to support the cotton harvest in autumn 2015. She said that in retaliation, on December 29, 2015, local police and tax inspectors, accompanied by the deputy hokim, illegally confiscated 85 million soum (US$14,167) worth of inventory, without any paperwork. She said that an officer shoved her, injuring her. On March 28, 2016, tax inspectors returned to conduct an inspection and confiscated the rest of her inventory, forcing her to close her business and leaving her family without a livelihood. \(^{120}\)

**Ongoing Child Labor**

In 2016 children and teachers in two districts in Kashkadarya and a school employee in Fergana told Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum that local officials required schools to mobilize children as young as 10 or 11 years old to pick cotton and suspended classes during this period. \(^{121}\) They noted that in several districts this was worse than 2015, when children received some classes prior to being sent to pick cotton. \(^{122}\)

- In Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, children in grades 7-9 (approximately ages 12-14) picked cotton for most of the season, and children in grades 5 and 6 (approximately ages 10-11) picked for more than a month. \(^{123}\)


\(^{121}\) Human Rights Watch interview with school employee from Fergana, place and date withheld, 2017. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; schoolchild, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 4, 2016; and schoolchild, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 2, 2016.

\(^{122}\) Ibid.

\(^{123}\) Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; mahalla resident, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 18, 2016; and schoolchild, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 4, 2016.
• In Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, children in grades 6-9 (approximately ages 11-14) picked cotton every day from September 20 to November 1, while children in grade 5 (approximately age 10) picked for 3 or 4 days.\textsuperscript{124}

• In Nishon district, Kashkadarya, Radio Ozodlik reported that children in grades 5-9 (approximately ages 10-14) at several schools picked cotton.\textsuperscript{125}

• In a rural Fergana school, grade 8 and 9 (approximately ages 13-14) students were forced to pick cotton every day for about a month, while younger students were sent for 15-20 days.\textsuperscript{126}

• In Andijan some schools required parents to pick cotton in the place of their children or pay 10,000 soum (US$1.50) per day.\textsuperscript{127} A nurse in Andijan said that in her nephew’s school parents could pick cotton for their children or pay for it instead.\textsuperscript{128}

In contrast, in Fergana region, one woman said child labor was prohibited on the farms where she picked cotton.\textsuperscript{129} Two teachers emphasized that children could not be anywhere near the fields because the ILO inspectors could find out.\textsuperscript{130} However, an education worker at a rural Fergana school described being ordered by the school director to force children in grade 5 and older (approximately age 10 and older) to pick cotton.\textsuperscript{131}

In 2015, a girl in grade 7 told the Uzbek-German Forum that her school in Andijan sent all children in grades 1-9 (approximately ages 6-14) to pick cotton after school, on weekends,

\textsuperscript{124} Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolchild, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 2, 2016.


\textsuperscript{126} Human Rights Watch interview with school employee from Fergana, date and place withheld. Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016.

\textsuperscript{127} Uzbek-German Forum interviews with medical worker and her spouse, Andijan, November 23, 2016; and nurse, Andijan, November 20, 2016. The Uzbek-German Forum also received a message on social media that “Parents in the Khuzhaobod district in Andijan are being forced to pick cotton in their children's place. They must pick for the mahalla and for the school,” Message to the Uzbek-German Forum, September 28, 2016.

\textsuperscript{128} Uzbek-German Forum interview with nurse, Andijan, November 20, 2016.

\textsuperscript{129} Human Rights Watch interview with kindergarten teacher, place and date withheld, 2017.

\textsuperscript{130} Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; and schoolteacher, Buvaidin district, Fergana, November 10, 2016.

\textsuperscript{131} Human Rights Watch interview with school employee from Fergana, date and place withheld, 2017.
and occasionally suspended class for children to pick cotton.\textsuperscript{132} She said that parents picked in place of first-graders.\textsuperscript{133} A father in Shahrisabz told the Uzbek-German Forum that schoolteachers ordered children in grade 9, who are usually 14 years old, including his daughter, to pick cotton at first only on weekends, then also on Fridays and, then every day for several hours after classes.\textsuperscript{134}

Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum documented cases where 16 and 17-year-old college students also picked cotton in Kashkadarya, Jizzakh, Fergana, and Andijan in 2015 and 2016.\textsuperscript{135} In many of these cases, officials appeared to resort to child labor to fulfill the college or school’s labor recruitment or harvesting quotas. For example, a college teacher from Jizzakh said that when the college could not fulfill its recruitment quota of third-year students, it supplemented with second-years. The teacher said, “We made up the deficit by sending second-years to the fields in two groups, so it wouldn’t be noticeable. In case the ILO were to come in suddenly, it would look like the second-years were studying.”\textsuperscript{136} One college teacher told Human Rights Watch that in 2015, her college only took children to pick cotton after the ILO had completed its inspection.\textsuperscript{137} A parent in Jizzakh said that children from the Lyceum (ages 16-18) picked cotton in their teachers’ names.\textsuperscript{138}

Education workers emphasized that they were required by their school directors to mobilize students, under great pressure from “higher authorities.”\textsuperscript{139} A teacher and a parent questioned the meaningfulness of child labor laws, as the children were still forced to work under orders “from above.”\textsuperscript{140} Another teacher said he and his colleagues had to

\textsuperscript{132} Uzbek-German Forum interview with girl in grade 7, Oltinkul district, Andijan, October 17, 2015.
\textsuperscript{133} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{134} Uzbek-German Forum interview with parent of pupil in grade 9 and college student forced to pick cotton, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 8, 2015.
\textsuperscript{135} Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college teacher, Yakkabag district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015; college teacher, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 12, 2015; college student, Zamin district, Jizzakh, November 8, 2015; farmer, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, December 10, 2015; college teacher, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; college teacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; college student, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 15, 2016; and college teacher 2, Andijan, November 21, 2016. Human Rights Watch interview with school employee from Fergana, place and date of interview withheld, 2017, who told of his school having to accommodate college students during the harvest.
\textsuperscript{136} Uzbek-German Forum interview with college teacher, Zamin district, Jizzakh, November 5, 2015.
\textsuperscript{137} Human Rights Watch interview with college teacher, date and place withheld.
\textsuperscript{138} Uzbek-German Forum interview with parent of student who picked cotton, Jizzakh, December 6, 2016.
\textsuperscript{139} Human Rights Watch interview with school employee from Fergana, date and place withheld.
\textsuperscript{140} Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; and parent of pupil in grade 9 and college student forced to pick cotton, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 8, 2015.
force most of the students to work in the cotton fields. According to a parent, the director of her 17-year-old daughter’s college threatened her when she attempted to refuse to allow her to pick cotton, saying he would report it to her employer—a commercial bank benefiting from IFC support—for disciplinary action.

B. Forced and Child Labor in Beruni, Ellikkala, and Turtkul, Karakalpakstan

Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum found that government officials imposed cotton production quotas on farmers and mobilized public sector workers, including school and college teachers and medical workers, and large numbers of third-year college students (who are typically age 18) from Beruni, Ellikkala, and Turtkul districts in Karakalpakstan to harvest cotton in fall 2015 and 2016 under threat of penalty. Regional and district hokims issued quotas for cotton production, harvesting, and labor mobilization to farmers and institutions. Officials from the departments of education and health issued quotas to the directors of schools, colleges, universities, hospitals, and clinics, who, in turn, required their employees and students to pick cotton or pay for a replacement worker. Other local government officials, including mahalla committee members and tax authorities, coerced labor or payments from people receiving benefits and business owners.

---

141 Human Rights Watch interview with school employee from Fergana, date and place withheld.
142 Uzbek-German Forum interview with parent of 17-year-old third-year college student, district and region withheld, November 15, 2015. The student picked cotton but failed to meet picking quotas and went into debt to cover her food costs.
143 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with farmer 1, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; farmer 2, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; medical worker, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; college employee, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 5, 2015.
144 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; college student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, October 22, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 5, 2015; college employee, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 5, 2015; public enterprise employee, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; college student, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; nurse, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, September 28, 2016; nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; school employees, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; medical clinic staff, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; school students, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and medical worker, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016.
145 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with small business owner, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; 16-year-old boy in Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; two 16-year-old boys and a 16-year-old girl in Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; housewife, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; a woman, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, June 10, 2016; a woman, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, June 10, 2016; medical technician, Turtkul, November 3, 2015; two employees of a public enterprise, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; pharmacy worker, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; and owner of a clothing stall, Beruni, October 2015.
Information gathered from visits to colleges, schools, hospitals or clinics, and local administrations, markets, and mobilization sites, and cotton fields in each of the three districts, interviews with 114 people forced to grow, pick, or weed cotton, observation at mobilization sites, and review of local media suggest that the government mobilized thousands of public sector workers at a time, including college teachers, schoolteachers and healthcare workers, for the duration of the 2015 and 2016 harvests in these three districts. These findings are corroborated by official mobilization decrees and government documents that track mobilization and picking quotas from other regions, announcements in local press, and statements by officials, and are consistent with findings in all other regions monitored by the Uzbek-German Forum in 2015 and 2016. During the 2016 harvest the Uzbek-German Forum interviewed and saw several 13 and 14-year-old children harvesting cotton who described working under the direction of their schools, as discussed below.

Public sector workers generally picked cotton in shifts of 10-25 days and in some cases longer, often staying in temporary housing and, after their return, worked daily shifts before or after work and on weekends in addition to their regular jobs. People could avoid picking cotton by paying a replacement worker or sending a relative to pick cotton in

---

146 For most institutions this meant that employees went to the fields in shifts so that 20-40 percent picked cotton or paid for replacement workers at a time, and remaining employees did extra work for no additional pay to compensate for their colleagues’ absences, often in addition to picking cotton after work and on weekends: Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; two employees of a public enterprise, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, November 2, 2015; college employee, Turtkul district, November 4, 2015; public sector worker, Turtkul, fall, 2016 (Supervisors required everyone from his public enterprise to pick cotton); high school teacher, Beruni, fall, 2016 (The school director sent 75 percent of school employees to work overnight in two shifts, half at a time. The director required the remaining employees to pick cotton locally); nurse, Ellikkala, September 28, 2016; teacher, Turtkul, fall, 2016; school employee, Turtkul, fall, 2016; two school employees, Turtkul, fall, 2016; teacher, Turtkul, fall, 2016; medical staff, clinic, district withheld, fall, 2016; two teachers, Beruni, fall, 2016; school children, Ellikkala, fall, 2016; medical worker, hospital, Turtkul, September 29, 2016; and school employee, Beruni, fall, 2016. For example, a medical worker said that 30 percent of the staff at the maternity hospital in Turtkul picked cotton at a time until October 25 and that the entire staff picked cotton on weekends or paid for replacement workers: Uzbek-German Forum interview with medical worker, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015. A schoolteacher said that 30 percent of the school’s more than 50 teachers picked cotton at a time for the duration of the harvest, in rotating 15-day shifts, and were still in the fields as of October 31: Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015. At many institutions, this meant that almost all employees picked cotton at one point during the harvest.

147 See Chapter II. Evidence of Forced and Child Labor and Links to World Bank Group Projects.


149 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, October 31, 2015; two employees of a public enterprise, Turtkul district, November 2, 2015; medical worker, Turtkul district, November 3, 2015; nurse 1, Turtkul district,
their place.\textsuperscript{159} Local government officials in all three districts also required some public and private sector businesses to send employees to pick cotton or make payments, purportedly to hire cotton pickers.\textsuperscript{151}

Based on 35 interviews with public sector workers people receiving social welfare benefits, and students, including children, the Uzbek-German Forum and Human Rights Watch found that officials also forcibly mobilized a significant number of public sector workers, in particular education and healthcare workers, and, in some cases students, to weed fields and plant cotton in Karakalpakstan from about May 10 until late June 2016.\textsuperscript{152} In addition, several teachers and students told the Uzbek-German Forum, as in other areas of the country, that local officials assigned schools and colleges primary responsibility for cotton

\textsuperscript{150} Uzbek-German Forum interviews with employee 1 of a public enterprise, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; third-year college student, Turtkul district, September 2015; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 2015; schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; and nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.

\textsuperscript{151} Uzbek-German Forum interviews with pharmacy worker, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; market stall owner, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; two public enterprise employees, Turtkul district, November 2, 2015; public enterprise worker, Turtkul, fall, 2016; small business owner, Turtkul, fall, 2016; market stall owner, Beruni, fall, 2016; and two market stall workers, Ellikkala, fall, 2016. Uzbek-German Forum monitor’s reports, September and October 2015. The reports are based on visits to schools, colleges, universities, hospitals, clinics, markets, private businesses, and public institutions, brief interviews with people forced to pick cotton or make a payment in lieu of picking, observation of mobilization sites.

\textsuperscript{152} Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Beruni district, May 26, 2016. The teacher weeded the cotton fields at the Urazbay Jumaniyazov farm, which is in the area covered by World Bank-funded South Karakalpakstan Water Resources Management Improvement Project. The teacher said that 15 of the school’s approximately 75 teachers weeded cotton at a time for 10-day shifts and received no pay. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2015; medical worker, Beruni, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; nurse, Turtkul district, May 2016; two 16-year-old boys and a 16-year-old girl in Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; 16-year-old boy in Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; and college teacher, Turtkul district, May 28, 2016. The college teacher said that teachers and third-year students from the college weeded fields in Qumbosgan, which is in the area covered by the Bank’s irrigation project. Reports by media and some human rights activists suggest that some education and healthcare worked in the cotton fields as late as August 2016 in some regions of Karakalpakstan. See “Коракалпакистонда 60 ҳашарча ғушиг ан топилаш мебошад, 27 киси ёш роҳголонча [Bus with 60 cotton pickers crashed. 27 people are hospitalized],” Radio Ozodlik, August 4, 2016, http://www.ozodlik.org/a/27900312.html (accessed May 25, 2017), and “Medics and Teachers in an Accident While Taken to the Cotton Fields for Forced Labor in Karakalpakstan,” Human Rights Alliance of Uzbekistan, August 3, 2016, on file with the Uzbek-German Forum. Both reports note that a bus carrying 60 health and education workers to the cotton fields for forced labor in the Kara-uzyask district of Karakalpakstan crashed on August 2, causing injuries to at least 22 people.
production on specific land and those institutions faced penalties for failure to produce assigned quota amounts, pressure that led, in some instances, to those institutions resorting to child labor in an attempt to meet their obligations. According to several interviews, *mahalla*, local neighborhood council, workers went from house to house to recruit people to pick cotton and forced each family receiving child assistance or welfare payments to send one family member, in some cases children, to pick cotton or face cuts to their welfare assistance. Interviewees told the Uzbek-German Forum that people forced to weed fields and plant cotton received no payment for this work.

*College Students and College Teachers Forced to Work in Cotton Fields*

In 2015 and 2016 government officials, including from the *hokimiat* and district department of education, mobilized college teachers and third-year college students, who are generally 18, from Beruni, Ellikkala, and Turtkul districts to pick cotton starting in early September, until the end of October and, in a few cases, until early November. In 2015

---

153 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; college employee, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 4, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; grandmother of a first-year college student forced to pick cotton, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; and college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016.

154 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with 16-year-old boy in Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; two 16-year-old boys and a 16-year-old girl in Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; housewife, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; and two employees of a public enterprise, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015. Uzbek-German Forum Karakalpakstan monitor’s report, October 2015.

155 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, 2016; schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; and with three nurses, Turtkul district, May 2016.

156 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with third-year college student 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; college employee, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan,
district education officials mobilized college students for day and overnight shifts; in 2016 district education officials mobilized third-year college students for day shifts only.\textsuperscript{157} In addition, some students from Nukus, the capital of Karakalpakstan, were sent to pick cotton on farms in other districts including Turtkul.\textsuperscript{158} Classes were suspended for third-year students during this period.\textsuperscript{159} Officials also mobilized first and second-year college students, who are generally 16 and 17, to pick cotton on weekends.\textsuperscript{160}

While some students were paid, others were not. According to a student and a teacher, in 2015 students from their colleges received no wages for picking: the colleges spent the 230 soum (US$.04) per kilogram allotted by the government on food for pickers, and students who could not meet their picking quotas went into debt.\textsuperscript{161} One student said that after the harvest, the teachers bore the responsibility of collecting these debts from students.\textsuperscript{162} Generally, students said the labor was mandatory. Two students in Turtkul told the Uzbek-German Forum that the colleges did not grant students exemptions from cotton picking for any reason and that the only way to avoid picking was to hire a replacement worker at a

\textsuperscript{157} Human Rights Watch interview with Uzbek-German Forum monitor for Karakalpakstan, place withheld, January 15, 2017.

\textsuperscript{158} An article in a government-sponsored online news source stated that 4200 students at the Pedagogical Institute of Nukus picked 200 tons of cotton daily to “assist” cotton workers: “ПАХТАКОРЛАРГА КЎМАКДОШМИЗ [We are help for cotton pickers],” Amunews.uz, October 3, 2015, http://amunews.uz/news/show/1217# (accessed May 25, 2017). Uzbek-German Forum interviews with first-year students of Nukus State University, Nukus, Karakalpakstan, October 4, 2016; and third-year student of Nukus State University, Nukus, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.

\textsuperscript{159} Uzbek-German Forum interviews with third-year student of Nukus State University, Nukus, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; college employee, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 4, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; and college student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015.

\textsuperscript{160} For further discussion, see below, “Child Labor,” p. 62. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with grandmother of a first-year college student forced to pick cotton, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; second-year college student, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; second-year college student, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; first-year college student, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; two second-year college students, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September, 2016; and two college teachers and the mother of a 17-year-old student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.

\textsuperscript{161} Uzbek-German Forum interviews with third-year student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; and college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015.

\textsuperscript{162} Uzbek-German Forum interview with third-year student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015.
cost of 200,000-300,000 soum (US$33-50). Another student noted, “No one was released from work, even for good reasons.” Other students said that students could be excused with a doctor’s certificate.

For example, one teacher said that teachers and mahalla committee representatives met with parents who did not want their children to pick cotton to show them the government orders requiring the work. The teacher said that they also required parents to sign written statements that they did not oppose their child working. That account was corroborated by a student who described a similar visit by teachers and mahalla committee members.

Authorities threatened students with expulsion, failing grades, or other academic penalties if they refused to work. One student explained, “Both the teachers and the [college] director say that we won’t get our diplomas if we do not go out to pick cotton.” A college employee emphasized that colleges were under enormous pressure to fulfill their production quotas, which forced them to make students and staff work. One student explained that because of this pressure, “Teachers scold those students who are not coming to pick cotton, [saying], ‘Because of you, the director and I may lose our job.’”

163 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with third-year college student 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; and third-year college student 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015. Uzbek-German Forum Karakalpakstan monitor’s report, October 2015.
164 Uzbek-German Forum interview with third-year student at the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015.
165 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with third-year student of Nukus State University, Nukus, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and first-year college student, Ellikkala district, fall, 2016.
166 Uzbek-German Forum interview with teacher at the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015.
167 Ibid.
168 Uzbek-German Forum interview with third-year student at the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015.
169 Uzbek-German Forum interview with grandmother of a first-year college student who was forced to pick cotton, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015. The woman said: “I told her not to go but she said they would fail her at college. They told her that.” Uzbek-German Forum interviews with third-year college student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; and first-year college student, Ellikkala district, fall, 2016.
170 Uzbek-German Forum interview with a first-year college student, Ellikkala district, fall, 2016.
171 Uzbek-German Forum interview with college employee, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 4, 2015.
172 Uzbek-German Forum interview with a first-year college student, Ellikkala district, fall, 2016.
Officials also mobilized college teachers and students for spring weeding work in 2016.\(^{173}\) Teachers said they would be fired if they refused to work.\(^{174}\) A student emphasized that neither students nor teachers received any compensation for this work.\(^{175}\)

**Land assignments to colleges**

In some cases, government officials also required colleges to produce cotton. A teacher at the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College explained,

> Our college took on all aspects of farming on 60 acres. We had the responsibility for planting cotton on that land, weeding it, and had to fulfill [production] quotas. This obligation was ordered by the *hokim*. Other colleges in Turtkul were also made to take on such obligations.\(^{176}\)

A staff member at the Turtkul College of Industry and Transportation said that the college was responsible for a whole farm and its production. He said that when the college could not fulfill its quota in 2014, a prosecutor opened a criminal case against at least one staff member. That case was closed only when the college’s staff members paid to buy

---

\(^{173}\) Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; and schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016. A college employee said that classes stop entirely during both the fall cotton harvest and spring weeding: Uzbek-German Forum interview with college employee, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 4, 2015.

\(^{174}\) Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016. A teacher said: “No one even dares to think about refusing [to weed the fields],” and that officials instruct teacher not to tell anyone they weed the fields, Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016. One teacher said she tried to call the helpline to complain about being forced to weed, but it was not working. Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016. See Chapter V, Subsection “Feedback Mechanism”.

\(^{175}\) Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016. Teachers also said they must pay to avoid weeding: Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; and schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016.

\(^{176}\) Uzbek-German Forum interview with teacher at the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015.
enough cotton to make up the shortfall. In 2015 the same thing happened again, the staff member said. He said that the college was only able to fulfill its production plan by 170 staff members giving over half of their monthly salaries to buy cotton.\textsuperscript{177}

Two interviewees said that students and staff from both colleges worked from 8 a.m. until past dark each day with no days off. Students from the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College slept at a children’s summer camp and in tents. Each student could go home for one day during the two months to bathe because there were no facilities on the premises.\textsuperscript{178}

A college teacher from the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College said that in spring 2016, 50 of the college’s 100 teachers and one-third of the college’s 1500 students weeded the cotton fields on the college’s assigned land, 60 kilometers away in Qumbosgan.\textsuperscript{179} In addition, the college teacher said, some teachers were sent to oversee the students, and others were sent to work daily weeding shifts, leaving only a small cadre of teachers available to teach first and second-year students.\textsuperscript{180} The teacher said that weeding and picking cotton are “mandatory” because of the college’s land assignment, and that

---

\textsuperscript{177} Uzbek-German Forum interview with staff member of the College of Industry and Transportation, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 4, 2015.

\textsuperscript{178} Uzbek-German Forum interviews with teacher at the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; and third-year student at the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015.

\textsuperscript{179} Qumbosgan is in the area covered by the South Karakalpakstan Water Resources Management Improvement Project funded by the Bank.

\textsuperscript{180} Uzbek-German Forum interview with teacher, Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016.
students and teachers worked long hours without compensation and must provide their own food.\textsuperscript{181}

**Schoolteachers Forced to Work in Cotton Fields**

Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum documented schoolteachers, including kindergarten teachers, working in cotton fields in Beruni, Ellikkala, and Turtkul during the 2015 and 2016 harvests, as well as schoolteachers working in the fields during the 2016 spring weeding period.\textsuperscript{182} Of the 14 schools visited or from which teachers or students were interviewed in 2016, all but one Ellikkala school reported that teachers harvested cotton in 2016.\textsuperscript{183}

In general, during the harvest, schools organized teachers into rotating shifts of 15-25 days, with a quarter or more of any one school’s teachers in the fields at a time. Some schools had daily shifts, with some teachers picking cotton in the morning and teaching in the afternoon and others teaching in the morning then picking cotton in the afternoon.\textsuperscript{184} School directors mostly mobilized the teachers, under orders from above, and in at least

\textsuperscript{181} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{182} Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015, schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 5, 2015; schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; schoolteacher 3, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; schoolteacher 1, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2015; schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2015; schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2015; schoolteacher 1, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; schoolteacher 3, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; schoolteacher 5, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; 13-year-old boy 1, Ellikala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; 14-year-old boy, Ellikala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016; man in cotton field, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and kindergarten teacher, Ellikkala district, fall, 2016. Uzbek-German Forum Monitor, *Description of material collected from Beruni*, fall, 2016, paras. 2, 7. Uzbek-German Forum Monitor, Inspection of three Beruni schools, fall, 2016.
\textsuperscript{183} Ibid.; Uzbek-German Forum conversation with school deputy director, guard, and schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015.
\textsuperscript{184} Uzbek-German Forum interview with teacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 5, 2015; and schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, 2016. Uzbek-German Forum Monitor, *Description of material collected from Beruni*, 2016, paras. 2, 7. Regarding daily shifts: Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015. This pattern was confirmed by the Uzbek-German Forum Karakalpakstan monitor’s report, October 2015.
one case the teachers’ union did it. Teachers that had to cover classes while others were in the field had to pick cotton after school and on weekends.

Teachers bore a significant brunt of spring fieldwork in 2016, leaving many schools severely understaffed for the last month of the academic year. In mid-May, local authorities ordered schools to send teachers to weed cotton fields. Schools organized teachers into rotating shifts, each lasting several weeks. A schoolteacher in Turtkul said that half of the school’s 80 teachers were in the fields for weeding. She described the situation as very difficult: “For 20 days we must be 100 kilometers away from our homes. Some of us have small children.” Another schoolteacher in Turtkul reported that of her school’s teaching staff of 80, 30 were sent to the fields for overnight shifts and 20 were also doing daily weeding work. A teacher at a school in Beruni said that the school’s 76 teachers went in groups of 15 teachers for weeding shifts of 10 days each.

Although some teachers said they paid for replacement workers, people interviewed by the Uzbek-German Forum said that the majority picked cotton or weeded the fields themselves, in part because their salaries are too low afford the cost of paying a replacement. One teacher said she was required to hire another worker in 2016, despite the financial hardship, as her director emphasized he needed her to work at the school.

---

185 Regarding the role of school directors and more senior government officials: Uzbek-German Forum interviews with teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 5, 2015; teacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; teacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and teacher and guard, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016. Regarding the involvement of the teachers’ union: Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016.

186 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; and schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015. Uzbek-German Forum Karakalpakstan monitor’s report, October, 2015.

187 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016; and schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016.

188 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016.

189 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016.

190 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016.

191 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 5, 2015; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2015; and schoolteacher 5, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016.

192 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016.
A Department of Education representative told a media outlet that teachers were weeding the cotton fields “voluntarily” because farmers could not cope with the amount of work. However, teachers emphasized to the Uzbek-German Forum the involuntary nature of this work, both during the harvest and the field preparation. An Ellikkala schoolteacher explained, “The order [to pick cotton] came from the hokimiat. There are no teachers who can refuse to pick cotton. We are forced to comply [with the order to pick cotton] as this is government policy....”

A Turtkul schoolteacher told the Uzbek-German Forum that she and her colleagues are too scared to refuse or to complain about the work even though they know forced labor is prohibited and see no value in cotton work. She said that she had never seen anyone refuse to pick cotton. Several workers told the Uzbek-German Forum that their employment contracts did not include provisions requiring agricultural work or any work related to cotton production.

School administrators threatened to fire teachers if they refused to pick cotton or weed the fields. Several teachers told the Uzbek-German Forum that, while it is the school director who requires them to work in the fields, the director is following the orders of the central government. A Turtkul schoolteacher said that while she cannot refuse to work in the

---

193 “Ўқитувчилар чопиқда, ҳукумат яна 3,3 миллион тоннанил ҳосилга кўз тикмоқда [Teachers are weeding the fields, the government again predicts a harvest of 3.3 million tons],” Radio Ozodlik, May 27, 2016, http://www.ozodlik.org/content/uzbekiston-chopiq-majburiy-mehnat/27761067.html (accessed May 25, 2017).
194 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 5, 2015; college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October, 2015; schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; schoolteacher 2 Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; and college teacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016.
195 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015.
196 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 5, 2015.
197 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October 28, 2015; schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, May 28, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; and schoolteacher 5, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
198 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016. “We cannot say no to picking cotton, since we are afraid that they might dismiss us by falsifying slander and violation,” Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016.
199 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; schoolteacher 1, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016; schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016; and schoolteacher 5, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016.
cotton fields, nor can the director “refuse to send us.” If he did, she said, “the department of education will fire him.”

In 2015 and 2016 teachers in Turtkul told the Uzbek-German Forum that local officials instructed teachers to lie to labor monitors and tell them that they are picking cotton by their own will. Similarly, a Beruni schoolteacher said that teachers were warned at school meetings not to tell anyone that they participated in cotton weeding work.

**Healthcare Workers Forced to Work in Cotton Fields**

Government officials forced medical and technical personnel from the Turtkul Central Hospital and the Turtkul Maternity Hospital to pick cotton. In 2015, under orders from the hokimiat, the institutions’ administrators sent hundreds of staff at a time to pick cotton in three waves. The first wave went to the fields on September 3 for 25 days. In 2016 about 700 of the estimated 1,500 medical and technical staff at the Turtkul Central Hospital went to pick cotton for the first 25 day shift, beginning September 5-6. Some employees, who could afford a fee of 300,000-375,000 soum (US$45-63), hired replacement workers. A Turtkul medical worker and nurse said that after returning from

---

**Notes:**

200 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 28, 2016.
201 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October, 2015; and schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016.
202 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 26, 2016.
203 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with medical worker, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; medical worker, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016; and nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
204 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with doctor, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October, 2015; nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; and medical worker, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015.
205 Uzbek-German Forum interview with nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
206 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with medical worker, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; and nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
Nurses from the Angren city hospital, Tashkent region, gathered with their belongings awaiting transport to the cotton fields during the 2015 cotton harvest. © 2015 UGF

overnight picking shifts, staff were then required to pick during the day.207 A doctor at the central clinic in Turtkul told the Uzbek-German Forum that she picked cotton for 20 days, which was one shift.208

The management of the Ellikkala Central Hospital sent 150-170 medical and technical staff to pick cotton for overnight shifts as well as organized remaining staff for daily picking shifts.209 In 2016 the Beruni Central Hospital also sent staff to pick cotton in rotating shifts, as did district and village medical clinics.210

207 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with medical worker, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; and nurse, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015.
208 Uzbek-German Forum interview with doctor, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, October, 2015.
209 Uzbek-German Forum monitor’s report, October 2015, based on visit to the Ellikkala Central Hospital and brief interviews with medical staff.
210 Uzbek-German Forum interview with nurse at Beruni Central Hospital, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; staff member 1 at central medical clinic, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; staff member 2 at central medical clinic, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; nurse at district medical clinic, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and staff of village medical clinic, district withheld, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
Several nurses from the Turtkul Central Hospital told the Uzbek-German Forum that local authorities again ordered hospital staff to the fields en masse for spring fieldwork. A group of 615 hospital staff went to the fields on May 10, 2016, and had to stay until the next shift came to relieve them on June 9. The nurses complained that they received no additional payment for this work and had to pay for food. One of them described her experience:

Whoever is sent for weeding work lives like homeless people for 20 or 25 days. There are no beds, the floor is dirty, the paint is peeling off the walls.... We walk 30 minutes to the fields, an hour if we’re working in a field farther away.

Medical workers from the Beruni Central Hospital told the Uzbek-German Forum that every department at the hospital had to provide staff members to weed the cotton fields in two shifts, each lasting three weeks to one month, the first from May 10 and the second from

---

211 Uzbek-German Forum interview with several nurses, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016.
212 Ibid.
June 10. As with other public sector workers, several of the medical personnel interviewed emphasized that they were ordered to do this work and did not do it by choice but understood that it was unavoidable.

Families Forced to Work in the Cotton Fields for Child Benefits, Welfare Payments

In 2015 and 2016 several people told the Uzbek-German Forum that the *hokims* or *mahalla* committee required that a family member weed and/or pick cotton if they received child benefits or other welfare payments on pain of possibly losing those benefits. Since October 2016 child welfare benefits amount to 292,000 *soum*, about US$44 per month, and comprise a significant portion of household income for the rural poor.

In 2015 a woman in Beruni told the Uzbek-German Forum she and others were sent to weed the cotton fields by their *mahalla* committees and that she was told that she would no longer receive benefits payments for her children unless she did this work. Another woman told the Uzbek-German Forum that she picked cotton in 2015 because her *mahalla* committee said it was mandatory if she wanted benefits for her baby. Nonetheless, she said, the *mahalla* committee refused to pay

---

213 Uzbek-German Forum interview with medical workers from the Beruni Central Hospital, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016.
214 Ibid.
215 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with a woman, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; a mother, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, June 10, 2016; Zulfiya Z. [not her real name], Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, June 10, 2016; a woman with her 7-year-old child, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; a woman, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and medical technician, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2016.
216 Uzbek-German Forum interview with housewife, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016.
her the benefit and said she would also have to work the next year to get those benefits.\(^{217}\)

Another woman said that she picked cotton because her mahalla committee threatened to withhold the child benefit payment for her grandson.\(^{218}\) An employee of a public enterprise in Turtkul said that she paid money to her mahalla committee during spring weeding and sent her daughter to pick cotton in 2015 because her daughter-in-law received child benefits and the committee required a family member to work or to pay for a replacement worker.\(^{219}\)

**Public and Private Sector Businesses Required to Provide Workers to Work in Cotton Fields or Pay for Replacement Workers**

Government officials, including from the hokimiat and tax authorities, forced private and government businesses, including large and small enterprises and individual entrepreneurs, in the three Karakalpakstan districts to contribute money or labor to the 2015 and 2016 harvests.\(^{220}\) For example, an employee at a pharmacy in Beruni told the Uzbek-German Forum that officials ordered the pharmacy to send all three of its employees to pick cotton for the season, but that the employees instead contributed money and the pharmacy hired replacement workers.\(^{221}\)

Tax authorities and other officials required market stall owners, small entrepreneurs, and taxi drivers to send someone to pick cotton or pay 5,000-15,000 soum (US$0.75-2.50) per day for replacement workers.\(^{222}\) The owner of a clothing stall at the central market in Beruni explained, “The bus to the fields picks workers up [from the bazaar]. [But] first the tax

\(^{217}\) Uzbek-German Forum interview with a mother, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, June 10, 2016.

\(^{218}\) Uzbek-German Forum interview with Zulfiya Z. [not her real name], Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, June 10, 2016. See also, Uzbek-German Forum interview with a woman with her 7-year-old child, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.

\(^{219}\) Uzbek-German Forum interview with two employees of a public enterprise, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015.

\(^{220}\) Uzbek-German Forum interviews with public enterprise employee 1 and public enterprise employee 2, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; market stall owner, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; market stall worker 1, October, 2015; market stall worker 2, October, 2015; market stall worker 3, October, 2015; market stall owner, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; market stall owner, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and small business manager, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016. Uzbek-German Forum Karakalpakstan monitor’s report, October 2015, which is based on brief interviews with taxi drivers, market stall owners, and shopkeepers in Turtkul, Beruni, and Ellikkala, Karakalpakstan.

\(^{221}\) Uzbek-German Forum interview with pharmacy employee, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015.

\(^{222}\) Uzbek-German Forum interviews with market stall owner 1, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, October 2016; market stall worker 1, October, 2015; market stall worker 2, October, 2015; market stall worker 3, October, 2015; market stall owner, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and small business manager, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016. Uzbek-German Forum Karakalpakstan monitor’s report, October 2015, which is based on brief interviews with taxi drivers, market stall owners, and shopkeepers in Turtkul, Beruni, and Ellikkala, Karakalpakstan.
inspectors come around to check to see who has paid money.” Other employees of market stalls in Beruni confirmed they had to pick cotton.

A Beruni market stall owner explained that entrepreneurs cannot refuse the orders of tax-department officials to work. She said, “I cannot say no to him. He’d say shut down your shop and go to pick cotton.” Another interviewee similarly noted that “You cannot say no.”

**Child Labor**

In Ellikkala district, officials from at least two schools ordered 13 and 14-year-old children to pick cotton after school in 2016. The Uzbek-German Forum interviewed several children that it witnessed picking cotton under the supervision of their teachers. Two children told the Uzbek-German Forum that their schools required children younger than them to also pick cotton after school. Similarly, in Beruni district, the Uzbek-German Forum interviewed a schoolteacher whose 12-year-old relative was picking cotton beside her. The schoolteacher said that the child was ordered to pick cotton after finishing her classes at school.

In 2015 and 2016 college officials mobilized 16 and 17-year-old students to harvest cotton. In 2015 interviewees at two Turtkul colleges said that in mid-September both colleges suspended classes and sent second-year students to the fields and sent first-year students, who are generally 16 years old, to pick cotton on Saturdays and Sundays. In 2016 college officials mobilized first and second-year college students at colleges in...

---

223 Uzbek-German Forum interview with market stall owner, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015.
224 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with market stall worker 2, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; and small business manager, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
225 Uzbek-German Forum interview with market stall owner, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
226 Uzbek-German Forum interview with small business manager, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
227 Uzbek-German Forum interview with two girls, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; two women, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; 13-year-old boy 1, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and 13-year-old boy 2, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
228 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with two 14 year-old-girls, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
229 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
230 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with teacher at the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 2, 2015; third-year student at the Turtkul Industrial-Pedagogical College, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 3, 2015; and staff member of the College of Industry and Transportation, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 4, 2015.
Beruni, Ellikkala, and Turtkul districts to pick cotton on weekends. On at least one occasion, officials also mobilized first and second-year students at an Ellikkala college to pick cotton on a weekday, Monday September 26, 2016.

Officials forced some students to plant and weed cotton in Karakalpakstan from about May 10 until late June 2016. Several teachers and students told the Uzbek-German Forum that local officials assigned colleges the primary responsibility for cotton production on specific land. Since those institutions faced penalties if they did not meet production quotas, some resorted to child labor to meet the quotas. In several cases children were forced to work in cotton to ensure that their families did not lose their child benefit payments. For example, three children in Beruni and Turtkul, all of whom were 16 years old, told the Uzbek-German Forum that they had been forced to weed the cotton fields in May 2016, prior to the completion of the academic year on May 25. They said that they had been sent by their mahalla committees in order for their families to receive child benefit payments.

In other cases children worked alongside or in the place of their parents. An employee of a public enterprise in Turtkul district said that if one of his colleagues could not go and pick cotton, they sent their children instead.

231 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with grandmother of a first-year college student forced to pick cotton, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 2015; second-year college student, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; second-year college student, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; first-year college student, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; two second-year college students, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September, 2016; and two college teachers and the mother of a 17-year-old student, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.

232 Telephone conversation with Ellikala college, September 26, 2016: “No [there are no lessons]. Today everyone was sent to harvest cotton.”


234 Ibid.


236 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with a woman accompanied by her child, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; and a woman and her 10-year-old son, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.

237 Uzbek-German Forum interview with a public enterprise employee, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016.
III. Impact on education

Many schools, colleges, and universities are plagued by crippling closures, disruptions, and teacher shortages for almost a third of the academic year because of the Uzbek government’s forced labor system.

The academic year begins on or around September 1 every year, just as the cotton harvest season begins. The cotton harvest ends in early November, just as the annual November school holiday begins, which lasts until November 10. Many schools, colleges, and universities do not have normal, fully-staffed class schedules until after November 10, or more than two months after school begins. Spring fieldwork begins in late April or early May, depending on the region, and can last well into June. The academic year concludes on May 25, which means that many schools and colleges must prepare students for final exams while a significant number of teachers are weeding the cotton fields.

The World Bank is, directly and through the Global Partnership for Education, financing education projects in Uzbekistan worth almost US$100 million. A significant portion of the World Bank’s funds are for the modernization of general teaching and science laboratories at some of Uzbekistan’s 65 universities, postsecondary institutes, academies, or branches of foreign higher education institutions. The government routinely forces students and teachers at these institutions to work in the cotton sector. In 2015 and

---

238 In 2015 the cotton harvest began on September 2 or 3 in Karakalpakstan, and around September 6 in most other parts of the country. In 2016 the cotton harvest officially began on September 12 due to an official mourning period following President Karimov’s death, announced on September 2.


241 See Chapter
2016, the government ordered some schools, colleges, and universities to mobilize staff, burdening them with both recruiting and fulfilling harvest quotas and creating the appearance of functioning normally. 242

Falsifying attendance to hide forced labor

According to the ILO, it appears that attendance records are falsified to hide the fact that staff and students are working. 243 Schoolteachers and college instructors told the Uzbek-German Forum they were ordered to falsify records by their supervisors or local education officials to show complete attendance, even as absences and school closures were prevalent. 244 For example, a teacher at a school in Kashkadarya said that the school ledgers reported that all classes and lessons occurred even though half of the teachers and staff were picking cotton and the school was closed entirely for a month while pupils from grades 5-9 (approximately ages 6-14) picked cotton, and stated, “It’s as if the school didn’t close. We are lying to ourselves.” 245
Disruptions to Colleges

During the 2015 fall harvest, third-year college students, who are usually 18, missed at least two months of class in September and October. In 2016 colleges in some districts continued to send students to pick cotton, while in other districts college students were not mobilized. Classes for first and second-year college students and third-year students were severely disrupted even in institutions where students were not required to pick cotton as significant numbers of teachers and staff were absent for cotton picking, and the remaining teachers often had to do daily picking work in addition to trying to cover classes for their colleagues in the fields. A college teacher in Andijan said that even when first and second-year students continued to come to college: “They would sit looking at each other for two periods and then go home” or clean the college as the teachers were in the fields. A college teacher in Kashkadarya described the impact of these disruptions: “The curriculum is designed for September through May, every single day, every hour is specified. But because of cotton, we can’t comply with the curriculum.”

Undermining Quality of Schools

Closures, false records, and absenteeism of educators and students have undermined the quality of primary, secondary, and higher education in Uzbekistan, as was repeatedly noted by educators. So many teachers are absent that classes are skipped, shortened,

---

246 Uzbek-German Forum interview with parent pupil in grade 9 and college student forced to pick cotton, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 8, 2015.
247 See discussion of the government’s forced mobilization of college students above, Chapter II, Section A. Ongoing Evidence of Systematic Forced Labor and Continuing Child Labor in Uzbekistan’s Cotton Sector, and Section B, Subsection “College Students and College Teachers Forced to Work in Cotton Fields”.
248 Uzbek-German Forum interview with staff member of the College of Industry and Transportation, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, November 4, 2015. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college teacher 1, Andijan, October 20, 2016; college teacher 1, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; college teacher 1, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; college teacher 2, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; college teacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; and college teacher, Kokand, Fergana, September 20, 2016.
249 Uzbek-German Forum interview with college teacher 2, Andijan, November 21, 2016.
250 Uzbek-German Forum interview with college teacher, Yakkabag district, Kashkadarya, November 10, 2015.
251 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; schoolteacher, Buvauidin district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 5, 2016; schoolteacher, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; schoolteacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 23, 2016; schoolteacher, Kuvin district, Fergana, September 25, 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; teachers, Syrdarya, September 2015 [as reported in the Syrdarya monitor’s 1st report]; schoolteacher, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2015; interview with schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016; schoolteacher, Andijan, November 8, 2015; schoolteacher 5, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016; and schoolteacher, Ellikala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015.
or taught by teachers of other disciplines. A Beruni high school teacher explained the situation at her school:

Two months weeding, and then another three months harvesting cotton: because of this, pupils do not receive their full education. Teachers have to conduct lessons in two or three classes simultaneously. For example, the teacher gives some written assignment to one class, and goes to another. Left alone, pupils start making noise. They’re still kids, they cannot learn on their own.

---

252 Uzbek-German Forum interviews with schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; schoolteacher, Buvaibin district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; schoolteacher 1, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 5, 2016; schoolteacher, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 10, 2016; schoolteacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 23, 2016; schoolteacher, Kuvin district, Fergana, September 25, 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016; schoolteacher, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2015; schoolteacher, Ellikala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015.

253 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher 5, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016.
An Andijan schoolteacher emphasized the impact of the forced labor system on education outcomes, “Our students are becoming less and less educated. The situation is the same in colleges. Students who want to continue their education must hire private tutors.”

254 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Andijan city, Andijan, November 8, 2015.
IV. Reprisals against Human Rights Defenders, Forced Laborers, and Complainants

The Uzbek government severely restricts a range of civil and political rights. It regularly impedes independent civil society groups and retaliates against human rights defenders. This makes independent monitoring of labor practices extremely challenging and dangerous.\textsuperscript{255}

Detention of and Reprisals against Human Rights Defenders and Journalists Monitoring Forced Labor Practices

In both 2015 and 2016 several monitors, whose work with the Uzbek-German Forum was not publicly known for security reasons, faced harassment by local authorities. In several regions, police, prosecutors, and representatives of \textit{mahalla} committees called the Forum’s monitors in for questioning because of their suspected role as monitors.

Officials threatened to file charges against the monitors, put their jobs at risk, and made other threats against them. In some cases the authorities confiscated their research materials or arbitrarily prevented them from traveling in connection with their monitoring work.\textsuperscript{256} In 2015 other human rights activists who openly monitored labor practices for the Uzbek-German Forum and who provided information to the ILO and World Bank Group faced harassment and persecution. In some cases the harassment prevented them from conducting their work. This continued in 2016.

Dmitry Tikhonov, a journalist and human rights defender based in Angren, in the Tashkent region, has worked to document labor and other human rights abuses connected to cotton production in Uzbekistan for several years and has regularly provided information to the ILO and World Bank. In December 2015 Tikhonov was forced to flee Uzbekistan after his


\textsuperscript{256} Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum have withheld identifying information in some cases due to concerns that publishing detailed accounts of this harassment would further expose monitors to risk of reprisals.
Dmitry Tikhonov (on the left), a journalist and human rights defender, stands with a cotton worker, September 2013. Tikhonov was forced to flee Uzbekistan after his home office was burned in 2015 and he faced disorderly conduct and other spurious charges connected to his monitoring. © 2013 UGF

home office was burned and he faced disorderly conduct and other spurious charges connected to his monitoring.257 He now resides outside the country, unable to continue his monitoring.

Uktam Pardaev, a human rights defender from the Jizzakh region, for years has advocated on behalf of victims of corruption and monitored the use of child and forced labor in the cotton sector. On January 11, 2016, a court in the Jizzakh region sentenced him to a suspended prison term and three years’ probation for insult, fraud, and taking a bribe, all

of which he denies. He had been in detention since his arrest on November 16, 2015. Pardaev says police told him that he must adhere to a curfew, travel restrictions, and refrain from human rights work. Pardaev risks prison if he violates the probation conditions. In August 2015 police “invited” an Uzbek-German Forum monitor to the local prosecutor’s office, where the monitor says he was questioned by an SNB agent about attending a training abroad. The SNB agent told him that they had the right to arrest him for 15 days.

261 Human Rights Watch interview with independent monitor, place and date withheld.
Elena Urlaeva, the head of the Tashkent-based Human Rights Alliance of Uzbekistan and a longtime human rights defender, was arbitrarily detained three times during September 2015 while she was monitoring the cotton sector. Two arrests were with journalist and activist Malohat Eshankulova. On March 9, 2016, Urlaeva was admitted to the Tashkent City Psychiatric Clinic after ill-treatment by the police during the harvest. The hospital refused to release Urlaeva as planned on May 2, citing “official orders” rather than a medical reason, but finally released her on June 1, following international pressure. After her release Urlaeva said that she has remained under constant police surveillance and that police have prevented people from approaching her for assistance.

In 2016 only Urlaeva and Eshankulova conducted monitoring openly and allege that they suffered frequent harassment, including arbitrary arrest, violence, and destruction of their monitoring information. Urlaeva also reported that she often observed cars parked outside her home, was followed, and that officers from the counterterrorism department visited her home on several occasions to ask about her activities. On October 6, 2016, police in Buka, Tashkent region, arrested Urlaeva, photographer and translator Timur Karpov, and two French journalists when they visited a cotton field. Police wiped Karpov’s phone, which he says he unlocked under physical threat. Police destroyed all information on


264 Email from relative of Elena Urlaeva [name withheld] to the Uzbek-German Forum, April 28, 2016.


266 "Сотрудники милиции не допускают граждан к правозащитнице Елене Урлаевой. Узбекистан [Police do not allow citizens contact with human rights defender Elena Urlaeva. Uzbekistan],” Human Rights Alliance of Uzbekistan, June 30, 2016, on file with the Uzbek-German Forum.

267 Letter from Elena Urlaeva to the Uzbek-German Forum, September 22, 2016.
Long-time human rights defender, Elena Urlaeva, distributing Uzbek-German Forum booklets on the prohibition of forced labor under Uzbek law, Khorezm region during the 2015 harvest. Urlaeva, the head of the Tashkent-based Human Rights Alliance of Uzbekistan, was arbitrarily detained on several occasions in 2015 and 2016 while working monitoring labor rights violations during the cotton harvests. © 2015 UGF

Urlaeva’s phone and detained her for 10 hours. She reported that she was beaten in the presence of police by two women and kicked by a uniformed officer while in custody.268 On October 9, 2016, police in Alat district, Bukhara region, arrested Urlaeva and Eshankulova after they interviewed students picking cotton. Police allegedly strip searched them, detained them for several hours, and destroyed all of their notes and data on their phones and cameras.269 On October 22 police in Akdarya district, Samarkand region, arrested


“Узбекистан: «Бесстрашные» правозащитники продолжают мониторинг хлопковых полей несмотря на нападения и
Urlaeva and Eshankulova when they interviewed doctors picking cotton. Police in Buka arrested Urlaeva again on November 5 when she visited the district Department of Education. She said that after she left the department, a man she did not know forced her into a car, took her phone and and handed her to the police. She alleged that police held her for six hours, searched her, and erased her phone.270

On March 1, 2017, police detained Urlaeva once again. After reportedly insulting and assaulting Urlaeva, police reportedly summoned orderlies from a psychiatric hospital who forcibly committed her. A doctor told Urlaeva’s relative that there was a court order for the commitment but did not show it to Urlaeva or her relative.271 She said that on March 4 doctors began treatment against her will.272 In a video, Urlaeva said she believed authorities detained her in the hospital to prevent her from meeting with representatives of the ILO, World Bank, and International Trade Union Confederation, scheduled for March 2.273 The hospital released Urlaeva on March 23, following significant international pressure.274

In October 2016 SNB officers in Karakalpakstan detained an independent monitor who was researching labor abuses in cotton fields benefiting from the World Bank irrigation project. They questioned him for three hours, allegedly releasing him only after seizing the money he was carrying to cover his travel expenses.275 On November 10, 2016, police in Tashkent detained German journalist Edda Schlager and seized some of her materials, including those containing confidential interview information. They deported her the next day and

---


275 Incident report from independent monitor, October 2016. Human Rights Watch interview with monitor, place and date withheld.
banned her from returning to Uzbekistan for three years.\footnote{276}{Aleksei Voloseevich, “ИЗ УЗБЕКИСТАНА ДЕПОРТИРОВАЛИ НЕМЕЦКУЮ ЖУРНАЛИСТКУ ЭДДУ ШЛАГЕР [German Journalist Edda Schlager Deported from Uzbekistan],” AsiaTerra, November 13, 2016, http://www.asiaterra.info/obshchestvo/iz-uzbekistana-deportirovali-nemetskuyu-zhurnalistku-eddu-shlager (accessed May 25, 2017). See translation of the interview in English and additional information shared by Edda Schlager, “German Journalist Deported from Uzbekistan,” Uzbek-German Forum, November 16, 2017, http://uzbekgermanforum.org/german-journalist-edda-schlager-deported-from-uzbekistan/ (accessed May 25, 2017).} On November 29, 2016, officials detained, interrogated, and deported Yekaterina Sazhneva, a journalist for the Russian newspaper Moskovsky Komsomolets, the day after she met with Urlaeva, and banned her from returning to Uzbekistan for three years.\footnote{277}{“Российская журналистка Сажнева выслана из Узбекистана [Russian Journalist Sazhneva Deported from Uzbekistan],” Radio Svoboda, November 29, 2016, http://www.svoboda.org/a/28146805.html (accessed May 25, 2017).} On two occasions, officials in Karakalpakstan allegedly detained, interrogated, and threatened the adult daughter of an Uzbek-German Forum monitor. She said that on October 27, 2016, police officers detained her in the fields where she was picking cotton as a replacement worker for someone ordered to pick, several hours after she took photos of other cotton pickers.\footnote{278}{Letter from Uzbek-German Forum Karakalpakstan monitor to Umida Niyazova, December 12, 2016. A copy of the letter is on file with the Uzbek-German Forum and Human Rights Watch. Human Rights Watch interview with independent monitor, place and date withheld.} Police reportedly took her to a police station in Buxton, Ellikkala district, where an SNB officer, who said he was from Tashkent, interrogated her. He took her phone, alleging it was stolen. He also showed her a piece of paper, which he said was the statement of the father of a schoolboy whom the monitor had photographed picking cotton but did not let her read it. She said that the officer asked her questions, threatened her children, whose names and ages he knew, with physical violence, and said that her father, the monitor, was harming the nation. The officer allegedly released her and returned her phone after three hours of aggressive questioning and threats, and after requiring her to sign a statement that she had received a warning about the article in the criminal code prohibiting “sowing panic among the population.” She said that as she was walking away, the officer followed her in his car and demanded to check her phone to ensure she had not contacted anyone.\footnote{279}{Ibid.}

The same woman said that approximately a week after the incident, she was called to the district hokimiat. The same SNB officer was reportedly there, along with another SNB official, a law enforcement official from Nukus, the capital of Karakalpakstan, and two
women from the Women’s Committee. The SNB officer from Tashkent again led the interrogation. Over the course of three hours, he allegedly screamed at her, asking if she is “against government policy,” and threatened to harm her children. He showed her the criminal code and said that he could “easily have her sent to prison for several years.”

According to Urlaeva, on September 16, 2016, Khilola Juraeva, an employee of the district department of education in Buka, in the Tashkent region, planned to meet her to provide information about the forced mobilization of education workers. Urlaeva reported that Juraeva called her to cancel the meeting, saying she was being followed and would be punished for passing on the information. On November 7 Urlaeva visited the department and asked to meet with Juraeva. Officials there said that Juraeva had been fired for her previous contact with Urlaeva, but Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum could not independently confirm this.

Reprisals against Complainants

A school director who said she would be punished by the hokim if she could not get her staff to pick cotton said, “I know that you cannot force people to work. But I won’t call the complaint line number we were given. There is no use. These posters are put up for the benefit of the ILO. All these calls [to the hotlines] will result in simple teachers and medical workers losing their jobs.” And indeed, several people who filed complaints with the Federation of Trade Unions of Uzbekistan (FTUU) or Ministry of Labor feedback mechanisms allegedly faced reprisals.

• The Uzbek-German Forum sent a complaint in September 2015, alleging that a regional prosecutor extorted money from education workers in connection with the cotton harvest. The Uzbek-German Forum based the complaint on information it

280 Ibid. The Women’s Committee is one of the ILO’s “social partners.”
281 “SOS!!! ПОМОГИТЕ МЕДИКАМ И ПЕДАГОГАМ ИЗБАВИТЬСЯ ОТ РАБСТВА И ПРОИЗВОЛА ХОКИМА ДАВРОНА СУЛТАНОВА. БУКА. УЗБЕКИСТАН [SOS! Help Medical Workers and Teachers Get Freed From Slavery and the Arbitrary Rule of Hokim Davron Sultanov],” Human Rights Alliance of Uzbekistan, September 16, 2016, on file with the Uzbek-German Forum.
283 Uzbek-German Forum interview with school director, district withheld, Fergana, September 29, 2016.
284 Under an agreement with the World Bank, the ILO was advising the Uzbek Ministry of Labor and FTUU to operate a hotline to receive reports of forced labor.
received from a kindergarten director in the region. The director told the Uzbek-German Forum that the prosecutor then gathered the directors of all kindergartens in her district and demanded to know which of them had complained to a human rights organization. In 2016 the director told the Forum that she was too scared to complain again.

- A woman in Kashkadarya who alleges that she was forced to pick cotton or lose her child benefits said her neighbor called the hotline to complain that her 16-year-old daughter was sent to pick cotton overnight. The neighbor told her that in response, local authorities held her for several hours and made her write a statement that she did not oppose her daughter picking cotton. After her neighbor’s experience, the woman said she was afraid to complain herself.

- A teacher said that she sent a complaint to the Ministry of Public Education asking teachers to be freed from mandatory cotton picking: “They called the district department of education and asked them to resolve my issue “peacefully”.... After that, the school director went after me. He started threatening me and said he would show me ‘just what he’s capable of.’”

- On September 30, 2015, human rights defender Dmitry Tikhonov arranged for three people to speak with ILO monitors about their experiences of forced labor. Tikhonov said that police followed him and the complainants to the meeting. The complainants later told Tikhonov that police visited them at their workplace to warn them against talking to international monitors.
V. World Bank Failures

The World Bank only recognized the problem of forced and child labor in Uzbekistan’s cotton sector after an Inspection Panel complaint filed against it in 2013. Since then the Bank’s attempts to mitigate the risk of forced labor have been ineffective.

Forced and child labor still exist in the World Bank’s project areas and undermine its education programs. Yet, the World Bank Group continues to fund these activities. Following the 2015 harvest, during which independent monitors reported abuses, it initiated a multimillion-dollar IFC loan to the Uzbek government’s joint venture with Indorama Corporation, Indorama Kokand Textile, a yarn manufacturer and leading consumer of Uzbek cotton.

The World Bank has opposed adopting safeguards to address retaliation against independent monitors, people who cooperate with them, or people utilizing the feedback mechanisms that the World Bank helped create. At best the Bank has responded to government retaliation with quiet words behind closed doors. Those steps have not had any discernable impact.

Response to Forced Labor in World Bank Project Areas

To mitigate the risk of child and forced labor linked to its projects in Uzbekistan, the World Bank required government compliance with applicable laws and regulations on forced and child labor. Forced labor has continued on a massive scale.

---

291 World Bank, “South Karakalpakstan Water Resources Management Improvement Project: Project Appraisal Document,” May 14, 2014, p. ix, C.1.(a) Section I, Schedule 2: “The Recipient shall ensure that the Project is implemented in accordance with applicable environment and social standards and practices and in compliance with applicable laws and regulations on child and forced labor.” See also pp. 6, 69; World Bank, “Horticulture Development: Loan Agreement,” April 8, 2015, Schedule 2, Section I.E.2: “The Borrower shall ensure that the Project is implemented in compliance with any applicable laws and regulations on child and forced labor, including by ensuring such compliance by PFIs and Beneficiaries.”

Under the US$337.43 million South Karakalpakstan project agreements, the government must instruct local authorities to ensure strict compliance with laws during the cotton harvest.\textsuperscript{292} The World Bank has the right to suspend the project if it has credible evidence that child or forced labor were used within the project area.\textsuperscript{293}

Throughout the 2015 and 2016 cotton seasons, the Uzbek-German Forum and Human Rights Watch, together with the Cotton Campaign, repeatedly provided evidence of child and forced labor to the Bank.\textsuperscript{294} In 2015 the ILO found indications of ongoing forced labor in the country.\textsuperscript{295} The World Bank did not suspend the loan. The Bank noted,

\begin{quote}
The [ILO] monitoring did not find conclusive evidence that beneficiaries of Bank-supported projects used [forced or child labor] during the 2015 harvest.... However, large-scale state-led mobilization of adults for the cotton harvest did take place in 2015 and is likely to continue in 2016.\textsuperscript{296}
\end{quote}

In 2016 the ILO did not find specific cases of forced or child labor in World Bank project sites. As discussed below, nor was it looking for such abuses. It did, however, recognize that such abuses were possible because those projects “operate in a similar context and share similar risks of child and forced labor to that of others.”\textsuperscript{297} In its press release that accompanied the


\textsuperscript{294} Meeting between Cotton Campaign members, including Human Rights Watch, the Uzbek-German Forum, World Bank officials, and Kari Tapiola, Special Adviser to the ILO Director-General, World Bank, October 27, 2016. Meeting between Human Rights Watch, the Uzbek-German Forum and World Bank officials Lilia Burunciuc, Central Asia Regional Director, Dr. Animesh Shrivastava, Program Leader for Natural Resources and Competitiveness in Central Asia, Sascha Djumena, Country Program Coordinator for Central Asia, Robert Wrobel, Senior Social Development Specialist, Carl Hanlon, Head of Communications for Europe and Central Asia, Nina Bhatt, Practice Manager, Europe and Central Asia Social Development, Aaron Rosenberg, Chief for Public Affairs, International Finance Corporation, Ladan Pazhouhandeh, Associate Investment Officer, International Finance Corporation, Washington, DC, September 15, 2016.

\textsuperscript{295} ILO, Third party monitoring report 2016.


\textsuperscript{297} ILO, Third-party monitoring report 2017.
publication of the report, the Bank emphasized the ILO’s lack of specific cases while downplaying that the ILO’s overall concerns applied equally to Bank project areas.298

Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum provided further evidence of forced and child labor in the South Karakalpakstan project area to the World Bank on August 15, 2016.299 Lilia Burunciuc, the Bank’s Central Asia Regional Director, said that the Bank would scrutinize the evidence of forced and child labor laid out in the report to confirm that they are within the project area.300 She also emphasized that suspending a project would be the last resort, that the Bank is a positive influence on the sector, and that the Bank has made progress in its dialogue with the government on forced labor.301

As evidence of its success the Bank cites a high-level government policy commitment to abolish and prevent forced and child labor, and related changes to the law.302 It highlights reports that at least one government official was dismissed for violating such labor laws in November 2016, public education efforts on the rights of children and adults in schools, and the government’s increased emphasis on horticulture over cotton.303 The World Bank

300 Meeting between Cotton Campaign members including Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum, World Bank officials, , and Kari Tapiola, Special Adviser to the ILO Director-General, World Bank, October 27, 2016. Meeting between Human Rights Watch, the Uzbek-German Forum, and World Bank officials Lilia Burunciuc, Central Asia Regional Director, Dr. Animesh Shrivastava, Program Leader for Natural Resources and Competitiveness in Central Asia, Sascha Djumena, Country Program Coordinator for Central Asia, Robert Wrobel, Senior Social Development Specialist, Carl Hanlon, Head of Communications for Europe and Central Asia, Nina Bhatt, Practice Manager, Europe and Central Asia Social Development, Aaron Rosenberg, Chief for Public Affairs, International Finance Corporation, Ladan Pazhouhandeh, Associate Investment Officer, International Finance Corporation, Washington, DC, September 15, 2016.
301 Ibid.
303 Email from Robert Wrobel, Senior Social Development Specialist, World Bank, to Human Rights Watch, November 14, 2016. Wrobel stated that over 9,000 farmers and over 300 heads or deputy heads of the regional medical unions and head nurses have been trained on regulations on preventing child and forced labor. In 2016 under the Global Partnership for Education over 2,000 educators were trained. Wrobel pointed to the government declared commitment to decrease areas under cotton production by 170,000 hectares by 2020, targeting least productive areas and policy measures to stimulate growth in horticulture and livestock.
also notes that, according to the ILO, the number of people that refused to work in the cotton harvest doubled from 2014 to 2015.\textsuperscript{304}

Ultimately, the Bank believes that increased mechanization will help eliminate forced labor, requiring fewer people to harvest cotton. But this is of little consequence in South Karakalpakstan, where the Bank’s 2016 status report notes that none of the cotton within the project area had been harvested mechanically, and the 2017 report points out that just five percent was harvested mechanically in the 2016 harvest.\textsuperscript{305} The Uzbek-German Forum monitor noted that he did not see any mechanical harvesting of cotton in 2016.\textsuperscript{306}

The change in the government’s rhetoric noted by the World Bank is real. In the past the government refused to engage in meaningful conversations about forced and child labor. But changes in practice have been limited, and it is clear that forced and child labor are used in Bank project areas. As such, the projects should be suspended until the government is not using forced or child labor in World Bank project areas.

\textit{The Inadequacy of the World Bank’s Mitigation Measures}

In its response to the Inspection Panel complaint and in preparation for new projects in 2014, the World Bank proposed measures to mitigate the risk of forced and child labor being linked to existing and proposed Bank projects, including by requiring the government and sub-loan beneficiaries to comply with applicable labor laws and regulations, incorporating these laws in training materials for projects that involved a training component, establishing third party monitoring of labor practices in the Bank’s project areas, and establishing a grievance redress mechanism. While these mitigation measures should be part of the World Bank’s approach, they are not sufficient to address forced labor in Uzbekistan’s cotton sector without the government showing the political will to dismantle its coercive cotton system. Further, some of these measures have proven

\textsuperscript{304} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{306} Human Rights Watch interview with monitor, place and date withheld, 2017.
unfeasible for the Bank to implement in Uzbekistan, so the Bank has proceeded with weaker measures.

**Government Required to Ensure Financial Institutions, Farmers Comply with Labor Laws**

The World Bank’s financial intermediary projects, the horticulture project, and RESP II provide funding to businesses through banks. The Bank requires farms and related facilities that receive this funding to comply with forced and child labor laws. If a farm is found to have engaged in forced or child labor, the loan will be suspended and terminated, and declared to be immediately payable to the financing institution, which becomes disqualified from participating in the project. That institution is then required to return those funds to the government and that amount will be cancelled from the World Bank loan.

The government and banks are tasked with monitoring for and reporting forced and child labor by beneficiaries. Since government pressure is the reason for these labor rights abuses and the financial institution could lose funding if it finds violations, this creates a perverse incentive to underreport or downplay labor abuses. The World Bank has noted that there “have been no cases of use of child labor in RESP II,” but it has not addressed the inherent conflict at the heart of this monitoring system.

---
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Third Party Monitoring Insufficient, Misleading, Not Independent

Rather than the independent monitoring that the World Bank committed to, the Bank contracted with the ILO to monitor forced and child labor in partnership with the Uzbek government and government-controlled bodies. The state-orchestrated nature of forced labor in Uzbekistan presents an extraordinary challenge to the World Bank-commissioned monitoring, as well as the feedback mechanism, discussed below. The ILO constitutionally works with its member state and the social partners in that state, in this case the government of Uzbekistan and Federation of Trade Unions of Uzbekistan (FTUU) and the Chamber of Commerce and Industry of Uzbekistan, organizations that are not independent of the government.

The FTUU has several times stated publicly that there is no forced labor in Uzbekistan, including in September 2016, as monitoring was underway, and has denied harassment of and reprisals against monitors and human rights defenders. Further, the Uzbek-German


315 Tanzila Narbayeva, then-chairperson of the FTUU, made this claim as a member of the government’s delegation during Uzbekistan’s National Report of the UN Human Rights Council’s 2012 Universal Periodic Review of Uzbekistan. See http://uzbekgermanforum.org/ugf-series-2/, beginning at the 15 second mark (accessed June 7, 2017). At the 2013 ILO Conference of the Committee on the Application of Standards, the FTUU declared that there were no cases of child labor or non-attendance of school during the 2012 harvest in Uzbekistan, despite evidence and observations to the contrary presented by the Committee of Experts on the Application of Standards and Recommendations, numerous UN entities, and
Forum has documented cases in which unions played a role organizing mandatory cotton work, including enforcing quotas and collecting payments. People also indicated that they would not speak candidly in the presence of an FTUU official, who they perceive as closely tied to the government or as not representing the interests of workers.

In 2016, rather than using established indicators of forced labor to monitor for abuse, the ILO limited its monitoring to an assessment of how the government’s commitments to address labor abuses were being implemented. The ILO said that this was appropriate because the Uzbek government now implicitly acknowledges that it has a forced labor problem, so the next step is to assess measures to address the problem rather than again document forced labor. When asked what basis it had to conclude that there were no incidences of forced and child labor identified in regards to World Bank-supported projects in that case, the ILO’s chief technical adviser for Uzbekistan said that the ILO would have recognized a child in the field or evidence of forced labor, such as a self-declaration that someone had been forced to work. This conclusion is, at best, of dubious credibility and
does not meet the World Bank’s commitment to third party monitoring of labor abuses linked to its projects.

The climate of fear and repression in Uzbekistan makes independent monitoring particularly challenging. Several people expressed fear that something bad would happen to them if they told the ILO about being forced to work.320 One schoolteacher told Human Rights Watch that the only way people could speak openly was if the ILO interviewed them confidentially, out of the country.321 As the ILO itself has emphasized, reprisals against labor monitors undermine its ability to monitor.322

In 2015 and 2016 educators, medical workers, and others told the Uzbek-German Forum that their supervisors instructed them to lie to inspectors and tell them they were unemployed or non-professional staff such as cleaners and guards, and were picking cotton of their own will.323 For example, a schoolteacher who picked cotton in 2015 described following the instructions of school officials in telling an ILO monitoring team that teachers did not pick cotton.324 The ILO has recognized that “Many interviewees appear to have been briefed in advance.”325 Despite explicitly acknowledging concerns about the integrity of its findings and the possible interference of officials by instructing respondents in advance, the ILO did not explain how these concerns affected its findings. Instead the ILO took the view that the government instructing respondents to tell the ILO they are working voluntarily “has the advantage of raising awareness of the issue of child and forced labour and meant that

320 Human Rights Watch interview with two teachers, date and place of interview withheld. Uzbek-German Forum interviews with mahalla resident, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 18, 2016; nurse, Kokand, Fergana, November 13, 2016; kindergarten employee, district withheld, Jizzakh, December 5, 2016; college teacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; nurse, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, December 2, 2016; schoolteacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 23, 2016; schoolteacher, Kuvin district, Fergana, September 25, 2016; medical worker, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 11, 2016; school director, district withheld, Fergana, September 29, 2016; and college teacher, Kokand, Fergana, September 20, 2016.
321 Human Rights Watch interview with two teachers, date and place of interview withheld.
322 ILO, Third party monitoring report 2016 at para. 60.
323 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, [audio] September 29, 2016; kindergarten employee, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 8, 2016; mahalla resident, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 13, 2016; kindergarten employee, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 9, 2016; college teacher, Kokand, Fergana district, September 20, 2016; schoolteacher, Gulistan Syrdarya, September 9, 2016; medical worker, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 11, 2016; schoolteacher, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, May 2016; schoolteacher, Gulistan, Syrdarya, September 19, 2016; mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016; and schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016.
324 Uzbek-German Forum interview with schoolteacher, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, August 25, 2016.
In addition, some officials appear to have taken steps to hide workers or working conditions from the ILO.\textsuperscript{327}

**Feedback Mechanism**

The World Bank undertook to support the creation of a grievance redress mechanism through which people could anonymously report evidence of forced labor related to Bank projects.\textsuperscript{328} According to the Bank, the government insisted that the mechanism be a feedback, rather than redress, mechanism because an agency independent from the government would not have the authority to implement redress measures that fall under the government’s purview.\textsuperscript{329} Despite this assertion, the only new mechanisms created sit within the government’s Ministry of Labor and the FTUU. While the World Bank has also pointed to an international feedback mechanism through the ILO, this is merely the existing ILO complaints system that ordinary individuals and NGOs are not eligible to access.\textsuperscript{330}

In the context of fear the national feedback mechanism is of limited utility. Many people interviewed by the Uzbek-German Forum who were forced to work said that it was impossible to complain within the repressive environment, that complaining was useless, or expressed concerns that they would face reprisals if they complained.\textsuperscript{331} A Beruni

\begin{footnotes}
\item[327] Uzbek-German Forum interview with university student, Andijan city, Andijan, October 20, 2016. Human Rights Watch interviews with unemployed woman from Andijan, place and date withheld; and independent monitor, place and date withheld. For examples of tampering with attendance registers and lesson journals, see Section III. Impact on education.
\item[331] Uzbek-German Forum interviews with college student, Shahrisabz district, Kashkadarya, November 15, 2016; mahalla resident, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 13, 2016; medical worker, Gulistan, Syrdarya, November 11, 2016; college teacher, Kokand, Fergana district, September 20, 2016; mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 4, 2016; nurse, Kokand, Fergana, November 13, 2016; college teacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 29, 2016; kindergarten employee, district withheld, Jizzakh, December 5, 2016; nurse, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, December 2, 2016; schoolteacher, Jizzakh district, Jizzakh, November 23, 2016; schoolteacher, Kuvin district, Fergana, September 25, 2016; school director, district withheld, Fergana, September 29, 2016; schoolteacher, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016; schoolteachers, Gulistan, Syrdarya, September 29, 2016; kindergarten employee, district withheld, Jizzakh, December 5, 2016; schoolteacher 5, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016; schoolteacher, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, October 31, 2015; and kindergarten employee, district and region withheld, November 9, 2016.
\end{footnotes}
schoolteacher said, “I will not complain anywhere. Why? Why should I lose my job?”

The feedback mechanism consists of two call centers managed by the Ministry of Labor and the FTUU, and a website. Of the 2,017 official complaints that the Ministry of Labor received between September 26 and October 31, 2015, 2 were officially accepted and subject to further actions and sanctions according to the World Bank. Out of over 1,000 calls, the FTUU registered 68 official complaints related to forced or child labor, labor conditions, and problems with payment and “officially processed and resolved” 19. The Uzbek-German Forum sent 42 notifications of forced labor to the FTUU during this period, copying the ILO but did not receive any replies. In 2016 the Ministry of Labor registered as grievances 30 of the 3,939 “inquiries” it received, identifying 2 as related to child labor and 3 to forced labor. The FTUU deemed 85 of the 1,902 “requests” it received as related to cotton picking. Of these, it confirmed six cases of child labor and two cases as “presenting risks of forced labor,” with the remaining related to poor working conditions. In 2016 the Uzbek-German Forum declined to send notifications to the FTUU, given its poor record of effectiveness and to avoid the possibility of reprisals against complainants.

The World Bank and ILO have recognized some of the limitations of these feedback mechanisms and have been providing technical support to enhance them, which has resulted in some improvements. For example, FTUU can now receive anonymous complaints. However, given the FTUU’s public stance denying the existence of forced labor, the low number of complaints registered and resolved, lack of improvements between
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332 Uzbek-German Forum interview with teacher from Beruni, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016.
334 Ibid.
335 Then-FTUU chairperson, Tanzila Narbayeva, told Uzbek-German Forum director Umida Niyazova that none of the 42 complaints submitted by the Uzbek-German Forum were confirmed as containing evidence of violations but did not provide any details about how this determination was made. Comments by Tanzila Narbayeva to Umida Niyazova at the OSCE Berlin Conference on Prevention of Trafficking in Human Beings for Labour Exploitation in Supply Chains, Berlin, Germany, September 7, 2016.
337 Ibid.
338 Meeting between Cotton Campaign members, including Human Rights Watch, the Uzbek-German Forum, World Bank officials, and Kari Tapiola, Special Adviser to the ILO Director-General, World Bank, October 26, 2016. Meeting between Human Rights Watch, the Uzbek-German Forum, and World Bank officials Lilia Burunciuc, Central Asia Regional Director, Dr. Animesh Shrivastava, Program Leader for Natural Resources and Competitiveness in Central Asia, Sascha Djumena, Country Program Coordinator for Central Asia, Robert Wrobel, Senior Social Development Specialist, Carl Hanlon, Head of Communications for Europe and Central Asia, Nina Bhatt, Practice Manager, Europe and Central Asia Social Development, Aaron Rosenberg, Chief for Public Affairs, International Finance Corporation, Ladan Pazhouhandeh, Associate Investment Officer, International Finance Corporation, Washington, DC, September 15, 2016.
2015 and 2016, and low public confidence in unions or the feedback mechanism, this does not address the structural and contextual problems rendering the mechanism ineffective.

**Training of World Bank Beneficiaries on Prohibitions on Child and Forced Labor**

Several World Bank projects include financing to train farmers, teachers, and other intended beneficiaries on Uzbek legislation prohibiting forced and child labor. Many of those interviewed for this report, particularly teachers, said they already knew that forced and child labor are prohibited, but since the government forces them to work, the laws are meaningless. A university student said, “I saw the posters about forced labor. But if they aren’t used in practice, what good are they?” People not working in the education sector showed less awareness of the prohibition on forced and child labor in Uzbekistan.

**Additional Measures in South Karakalpakstan Project**

The World Bank exempted land that this project made arable from the state-assigned cotton production quotas to limit the use of forced and child labor. It also agreed that the amount of the project area on which cotton is produced would not expand. The Bank has not yet evaluated these measures since the project is behind schedule.

---


340 Uzbek-German Forum interview with college teacher, Andijan, November 21, 2016; schoolteacher, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 6, 2016; mahalla resident, Yakkabog district, Kashkadarya, November 18, 2016; schoolteacher 2, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 4, 2016; medical worker, Gullistan, Syrdarya, November 11, 2016; college teacher, Kokand, Fergana district, September 20, 2016; mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016; university student, Bayavut district, Syrdarya, November 6, 2016; schoolteacher 5, Beruni district, Karakalpakstan, September 29, 2016; college teacher 1, Gullistan, Syrdarya, November 8, 2016; and school director, district withheld, Fergana, September 29, 2016.

341 Uzbek-German Forum interview with university student, Bayavut, Syrdarya, November 6, 2016.

342 Uzbek-German Forum interview with small business manager, Ellikkala district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; nurse at district central hospital, Turtkul district, Karakalpakstan, fall, 2016; mahalla committee employee, district withheld, Andijan, November 29, 2016; university student, Gullistan district, Syrdarya, November 7, 2016; medical worker, Gullistan, Syrdarya, November 11, 2016; college student, Kokand, Fergana district, November 10, 2016; university student 1, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 5, 2016; university student 2, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 5, 2016; and mahalla resident, Uchkuprik district, Fergana, November 13, 2016.
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World Bank’s Emphasis on Horticulture, Despite Emerging Signs of Forced Labor

As part of the World Bank’s “multi-pronged approach” to addressing forced and child labor, it is promoting crop diversification by supporting Uzbekistan’s horticulture sector. The $183.13 million Horticulture Development Project funds commercial banks and leasing companies to finance horticultural enterprises.\(^345\) The World Bank has pointed to horticulture not being subject to state procurement quotas, operating under a more liberalized market environment, being more profitable, and consequently possibly offering more competitive wages to attract wage labor.\(^346\) But there are concerning signs that this is changing.

In some regions in 2016, government officials began to force public sector workers to assist farmers to plant vegetable crops in addition to forced cotton-related work.\(^347\) This has continued in 2017.\(^348\) An April 2016 presidential decree established a unified system for the cultivation, processing, and purchasing of fruits and vegetables under a system of state holding companies for purchase, processing, storage, and export of fruits and vegetables.\(^349\) Under the new system, farmers will conclude purchase agreements for the processing of fruits and vegetables and receive advances for inputs based on production quotas. The decree also establishes production targets for each region and the amount to be produced by private farms and peasant farms.\(^350\) The government mandated production of four million tons of horticultural products in 2016, meaning that farmers are required to fulfill production quotas for specific crops that they must sell to the government at government-set prices.\(^351\) The government has also increased the penalties farmers must pay for failing to deliver specific crops in specific amounts by dates specified in their

\(^{346}\) Ibid.
\(^{350}\) Ibid. Amendment 1 to Presidential Decree No. PP-2520.
contracts. Other sources also reported that in spring 2016 the government ordered a reduction in cotton production and an increase in fruit and vegetable crops.

When this evidence was provided to the World Bank, Animesh Shrivastava, who leads the Bank’s agriculture and water work in Central Asia, said that the Bank is in dialogue with the government on this, but that he is not overly concerned about forced labor because the horticulture system is structurally different to cotton and the government has committed that horticulture products will be sold at a “negotiated market price.” However, a leaked 2017 district administration document lists every farm in the district and the name of a public sector employee assigned to each one who is responsible for planting pumpkins and corn on the edges of the farm’s cotton and wheat fields. The public sector employees listed come from schools, public utilities, and other public sector organizations.

Inadequate Measures to Prevent, Respond to Reprisals

Although it developed safeguards for forced and child labor, the World Bank refused to adopt safeguards to allow independent monitors unfettered access to project sites or to prohibit retaliation against monitors or whistleblowers. Civil society organizations
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352 Ibid.
354 Meeting between Cotton Campaign members, including Human Rights Watch, the Uzbek-German Forum, World Bank officials, and Kari Tapiola, Special Adviser to the ILO Director-General, World Bank, October 27, 2016. Meeting between Human Rights Watch, the Uzbek-German Forum, and World Bank officials Lilia Burunciuc, Central Asia Regional Director, Dr. Animesh Shrivastava, Program Leader for Natural Resources and Competitiveness in Central Asia, Sascha Djumena, Country Program Coordinator for Central Asia, Robert Wrobel, Senior Social Development Specialist, Carl Hanlon, Head of Communications for Europe and Central Asia, Nina Bhatt, Practice Manager, Europe and Central Asia Social Development, Aaron Rosenberg, Chief for Public Affairs, International Finance Corporation, Ladan Pazhouhandeh, Associate Investment Officer, International Finance Corporation, Washington, DC, September 15, 2016.
355 District and region withheld. The document is on file with the Uzbek-German Forum and Human Rights Watch.
repeatedly told Bank staff that these were critical measures, but staff advised that their legal advisors had told them such covenants were not possible.356

Bank staff have expressed concerns about reprisals to civil society and indicated that they have shared these concerns with the government.357 On occasion, however, Bank staff have declined to raise such concerns.358 Government reprisals continue and the Bank has not escalated its response, refusing to publicly condemn reprisals or sanction the government in any way.359
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VI. International Finance Corporation Failures

The International Finance Corporation (IFC), the World Bank Group’s private sector arm, loaned Indorama Kokand Textile, one of Uzbekistan’s leading cotton yarn producers, US$40 million to expand its textile plant in 2015.\textsuperscript{360} According to the IFC, the investment allows the company to expand its production capacity, which will increase exports and help to create jobs in the domestic textile sector.\textsuperscript{361}

Indorama Kokand Textile is a joint venture between the National Bank of Uzbekistan and PT. Indo-Rama Synthetics Tbk. The major shareholder is Indo-Rama Synthetics Tbk., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the holding company Indorama Corporation, headquartered in Jakarta, Indonesia.\textsuperscript{362} According to its website, Indorama Corporation has committed to “being a responsible corporate citizen and ... [complying] with the best industry and local practices for environment, health, and safety standards.... Every member of our organization ... [is] duty bound not to cause harm.”\textsuperscript{363}

The IFC’s Environmental and Social Performance Standards prohibit clients from employing forced and child labor and require them to identify, monitor, and remedy forced labor in their primary supply chain.\textsuperscript{364} If they cannot immediately remedy these problems, clients must shift the project’s primary supply chain over time to suppliers that can demonstrate that they do not employ forced labor.\textsuperscript{365}

\footnotesize

\textsuperscript{360} The World Bank Board of Directors approved the project on December 17, 2015 and it was initiated on February 2, 2016, with the signing of project documentation. IFC, “Indorama Kokand: Summary of Investment Information.” See “Uzbekistan: Forced Labor Linked to World Bank Corporate Loan,” Human Rights Watch news release. A victim of forced labor in cotton production and three Uzbek human rights defenders filed a complaint on June 30, 2016 against the IFC for funding IKT, whose sole source of cotton is the government’s forced labor production system.

\textsuperscript{361} IFC, “Indorama Kokand: Summary of Investment Information.”


\textsuperscript{365} Ibid, para. 29.
The IFC recognized the loan to Indorama Kokand Textile was a high-risk project “due to potential social risks related to supply chain, namely labor practices in the cotton production sector in Uzbekistan.”

In order to obtain IFC financing, Indorama Kokand Textile committed to:

- Develop and implement a corporate environmental and social policy statement on child labor and forced labor issues in the cotton supply chain;
- Source cotton from areas monitored by the ILO under the World Bank program; and
- Improve its monitoring and tracing of the cotton supply chain, in consultation with the IFC.

Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum wrote to the IFC to seek their views about the findings of our investigations and its approach towards its clients.

According to the IFC, Indorama tracks its purchases from cotton gins to mitigate the risk of forced and child labor. Together with the IFC, Indorama has developed a system for rating the risk level of cotton-producing districts based on data from ILO monitoring, publicly available reports on labor abuses, and by examining the number of available laborers in that area. The IFC said that if the risk level of a district rises, Indorama commits to shift to low-risk areas. It had not shifted sourcing at this writing since IFC and Indorama believed it was already only sourcing cotton from “low-risk” districts.

Indorama has not publicly identified where it sources cotton; nor did it provide this information in response to Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum’s request for information about its due diligence processes. An IFC staff member justified this secrecy, arguing that as a for-profit company, “there is only a certain amount of time management can spend providing external information.” He also suggested that this information was a “commercial secret.”
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366 IFC, “Indorama Kokand: Summary of Investment Information.”
368 Meeting between Human Rights Watch, the Uzbek-German Forum and IFC officials, Washington, DC, September 22, 2016.
369 Ibid.
This approach is inadequate. Given the scale of the forced labor problem and its systemic nature, it would at best be extremely difficult for any company to source cotton in Uzbekistan while avoiding forced labor entirely. The approach detailed by the IFC relies on the badly flawed ILO monitoring and does not recognize the systematic nature of forced labor within Uzbekistan’s cotton sector. And it does not monitor the farms that grow the cotton Indorama uses, where the bulk of forced labor occurs.

Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum wrote to Indorama sharing this conclusion and asking it to describe how it addresses its human rights responsibilities in its operations in Uzbekistan. The company responded:

We are not in a position to comment on the specifics of your internal research. Indorama has a stated policy of not engaging in any form of child and forced labor at any of its facilities globally. We closely work with international organisations to ensure that we remain aligned to our stated policy.

Complaint Filed Against IFC Investments

On June 30, 2016, a victim of forced labor and Uzbek human rights defenders who have faced reprisals for monitoring and reporting on forced labor filed a complaint with the Compliance Advisor Ombudsman (CAO), the IFC’s accountability mechanism, raising their concerns that the IFC had not undertaken adequate due diligence in these investments and was in violation of the Performance Standards. The complaint, which Human Rights Watch and the Uzbek-German Forum supported, raises concerns about the existence of forced labor in Indorama’s supply chain in violation of Performance Standard 2 and describes how Hamkorbank and Asaka Bank, as commercial banks operating in Uzbekistan, are involved in Uzbekistan’s state-organized cotton labor system, which relies

---

on forced labor. On August 26, 2016, the CAO notified the complainants that it had found the complaint eligible. The assessment process is ongoing.

373 Email from CAO to complainants and their representatives, “Complaint regarding Indorama Kokand Textile, Hamkor Bank and Asaka Bank, in Uzbekistan,” August 26, 2016, on file with Human Rights Watch.

VII. Human Rights Standards

Both states and the World Bank Group have obligations under international human rights law. Companies, including those that receive money from the International Finance Corporation, have a responsibility to respect human rights, prevent abuses, and remedy human rights abuses that they have contributed to.

International and Uzbek Labor Standards

Forced Labor Defined

Forced labor is prohibited under international law.\(^{375}\) International Labour Organization (ILO) Convention No. 29 concerning Forced or Compulsory Labour, which Uzbekistan ratified in 1992, defines forced or compulsory labor as “all work or service which is exacted from any person under the menace of any penalty and for which the said person has not offered himself voluntarily.”\(^{376}\) The definition contains three elements: (1) some form of work or service must be provided by the individual concerned to a third party; (2) the work is performed under threat of penalty; and, (3) the work is undertaken involuntarily.

The ILO has elaborated examples of the “menace of penalty” and the “involuntariness” of the labor:

- “Menace of penalty” includes the presence or credible threat of: physical violence against a worker, family members, or close associates; financial penalties including penalties linked to debts, the non-payment of wages, or the loss of wages accompanied by threats of dismissal; denunciation to the police or other authorities; dismissal from current employment; exclusion from future


\(^{376}\) Ibid. (LO Convention No. 29), art. 2.1.
employment; removal of rights and privileges; deprivation of food, shelter or other necessities; shift to even worse working conditions; and loss of social status.377

• “Involuntariness” or lack of consent to the labor includes: psychological compulsion, for instance an order to work backed up by a credible threat of a penalty for non-compliance; induced indebtedness, for instance by falsification of accounts, inflated prices, reduced value of goods or services produced, and excessive interest charges; deception or false promises about types and terms of work; withholding or nonpayment of wages; and retention of identity documents or other valuable personal possessions.378

In 1957 ILO Convention No. 29 (Forced Labour Convention) was updated through the adoption of Convention No. 105 (Abolition of Forced Labour Convention), which specifically prohibited certain forms of forced labor that were commonly practiced at the time, including the systematic use of forced labor as a “method of mobilising and using labour for purposes of economic development.”379 The ILO Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations (Committee of Experts) emphasized that this prohibition applies “even where recourse to forced or compulsory labour as a method of mobilizing and using labour for purposes of economic development is of temporary or exceptional nature.” The Committee of Experts also noted that “no exceptions to universally recognized human rights should be sought in the name of development.”380

In its 2015 observation on the application of ILO Convention No. 105 in Uzbekistan, the Committee of Experts clarified that even where a government may claim that work is part of a civic obligation and therefore exempted from the forced labor conventions, “these exceptions are limited to minor works or services performed in the direct interest of the population, and do not include work intended to benefit a wider group or work for purposes of economic development, which is explicitly prohibited by the present Convention.”381


378 Ibid.

379 ILO Convention No. 105 concerning Abolition of Forced Labour.

380 “Giving globalization a human face,” ILO Committee of Experts, General Survey, 2012, para. 308. It explained further that when negotiating the Convention, the International Labour Conference declined a proposal to limit the application of this provision to the use of forced labor as a “normal” method of mobilizing and using labor for such purposes.

Child Labor Defined

Child labor is prohibited under several international conventions, including the ILO Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, the ILO Minimum Age Convention, and the United Nations (UN) Convention on the Rights of the Child. Uzbekistan has ratified each of these.

ILO Convention No. 182 (Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention) prohibits the worst forms of child labor for children under 18, including “work which, by its nature or the circumstances in which it is carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals of children” (also known as hazardous work). The Convention obliges member states to take immediate action to prevent children from engaging in the worst forms of child labor; to provide direct assistance for the removal of children already engaged in the worst forms of child labor; and to identify and reach out to children at risk.

Although the ILO does not have a specific list of occupations that constitute hazardous work, ILO Recommendation No. 190 (Worst Forms of Child Labour Recommendation) provides guidance to countries on determining what types of work constitute harmful or hazardous work. Each state party to the Worst Forms of Child Labor Convention is expected to use this guidance to identify specific tasks and occupations that it considers hazardous for children. Uzbekistan has included cotton harvesting on its official list of hazardous occupations prohibited for children under the age of 18.

ILO Convention No. 138 (Minimum Age Convention) sets the basic minimum age for employment at 15, and states that children ages 13-15 may participate only in light work that is not likely to be harmful to their health or development or hinder their education.

---


383 Ibid., art. 7.


385 Decree on Adoption of the List of Occupations with Unfavorable Working Conditions to Which it is Forbidden to Employ Persons under Eighteen Years of Age; Decree on Approval of Provision on Requirements on Prohibition of Use of Minors’ Labor (32, 33)

Uzbekistan has also ratified the Convention on the Rights of the Child, which provides specifically that children have a right “to be protected from economic exploitation and from performing any work that is likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child’s education, or to be harmful to the child’s health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development.” Under the convention, governments must take appropriate legislative, administrative, social, and educational measures to protect children from exploitative and hazardous work, including by establishing a minimum age for employment, regulating the hours and conditions of children’s work, and providing for “appropriate penalties or other sanctions to ensure the effective enforcement” of such protections.

**Uzbek Law on Child and Forced Labor**

Uzbek law, including the Constitution, provisions of the Labor Code, and laws on child protection, generally prohibit forced and child labor. The Administrative Code prohibits officials from imposing compulsory labor on anyone, except as provided by law, an offense punishable by fines, with additional fines for forcing children to work. Forcing people to work can constitute a criminal offense under abuse of authority provisions, punishable by fines or prison. The Constitution guarantees the right to work and to fair labor conditions and prohibits forced labor, and the Labor Code provides that forcing someone to perform work under the threat of any kind of punishment is prohibited. However, Uzbek national
law is not fully aligned with ILO forced labor conventions that the government has ratified.
The ILO Committee of Experts has advised the Uzbek government to amend article 95 of its
Labor Code, having observed that it is used for involuntary transfers of workers from their
workplace to the cotton fields.393

**The World Bank Group and Labor Standards**

The World Bank has noted that forced labor exacerbates poverty and is a fundamental
abuse of human rights and a violation of international law.394 It recognizes that child labor
prevents children from receiving education and may mentally and physically damage their
development.395 However, until recently the World Bank has not prohibited forced or child
labor in public sector projects that it finances. On August 4, 2016, the World Bank finalized
a new Environmental and Social Framework, which provides that forced and child labor will
not be used in connection with projects that the Bank finances.396 According to the World
Bank, the Framework is expected to go into effect in early 2018.397 This prohibition applies
only to investment lending, which typically involves financing governments to create
physical or social infrastructure. It does not apply to the Bank’s other lending instruments,
including financing directed at policy or institutional reforms and results-based financing.

While it includes key labor protections and provides for broader social impact analysis, the
World Bank does not acknowledge its human rights obligations in the new policy
framework.398 The framework also devolves significant responsibility from the World Bank

---

2017. Article 95 allows for the temporary transfer of an employee to another job without his consent in connection with
394 World Bank, “Core Labor Standards Toolkit,” undated,
395 Ibid.
396 World Bank, “Environmental and Social Framework,” August 4, 2016,
Environmental and Social Standard 2: Labor and Working Conditions.
397 “World Bank Board Approves New Environmental and Social Framework,” World Bank news release, August 4, 2016,
to its client governments, causing concern as governments are unlikely to identify or address risks related to human rights abuses that they are perpetrating, such as state-organized forced labor or attacks on human rights defenders.

The International Finance Corporation’s Environmental and Social Performance Standards prohibit clients from employing forced or child labor and require clients to identify risks of, monitor for, and remedy forced labor in their primary supply chain.\footnote{IFC, “Performance Standards on Environmental and Social Sustainability,” Performance Standard 2, paras. 22 and 27.} Where remedy is not possible, clients must shift the project’s primary supply chain over time to suppliers that can demonstrate that they do not employ forced or child labor.\footnote{Ibid., para. 29.}

**World Bank Group’s Human Rights Obligations**

In addition, each of the World Bank’s member countries has human rights obligations under international law that derive, for example, from treaties to which they are party. As a matter of international law, governments retain all their human rights obligations when they become members of an international organization and therefore cannot abandon them in their capacity as governing members of the Bank. In that capacity, governments are obliged to exercise due diligence with respect to their human rights obligations. According to UN human rights bodies and academics expert in this area, the World Bank’s board of executive directors also has an obligation to ensure that the policies and decisions of the World Bank are consistent with their governments’ human rights obligations, including those obligations derived from human rights treaties that they have ratified.

The Bank’s views on human rights have evolved over the last 15 years. Former Bank General Counsel Roberto Dañino wrote in 2006 that the Bank should “recognize the human rights dimensions of its development policies and activities, since it is now evident that human rights are an intrinsic part of the Bank’s mission.” However, in practice, the World Bank still uses the constraints of its Articles of Agreement to avoid human rights issues that it does not wish to address.

---


409 The World Bank’s Articles of Agreement state that the Bank “shall not interfere in the political affairs of any member... Only economic considerations shall be relevant to their decisions...” World Bank, “IBRD Articles of Agreement,” June 27, 2012, http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTABOUTUS/Resources/IBRDArticlesOfAgreement_links.pdf (accessed March 31, 2017), Article IV, Section 10. Language to the same effect appears in Article V, 6 of the IDA Articles of Agreement. World Bank,
The World Bank’s agreement with the UN states that the Bank operates independently of the UN and that the UN is to refrain from making recommendations regarding particular loans and terms or conditions of financing.410 As the Tilburg Guiding Principles on World Bank, IMF and Human Rights state, this “provides an organizational independence from the UN, not from international law.”411

Companies’ Human Rights Responsibilities

Companies are also the subject of several international human rights standards. In 2008, then-Special Representative of the Secretary-General on the issue of human rights and transnational corporations and other business enterprises John Ruggie elaborated the “Protect, Respect and Remedy” framework for business and human rights, which was further supplemented by a set of “Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.”412 This framework sets out: 1) the state duty to protect human rights, 2) the corporate responsibility to respect human rights, and 3) the need for a remedy for victims of business-related human rights abuses.413 All businesses should have adequate policies and procedures in place to identify, prevent, mitigate, and account for their impact on human rights. To meet its human rights responsibilities, a company should carefully assess potential human rights risks, including in its supply chain, monitor the impact of its activities on an ongoing basis, seek to prevent or mitigate harm, and adequately address any adverse human rights impacts it causes or to which it has contributed.


410 Agreement between the UN and the IBRD, entered into force, 1946, 16 U.N.T.S. 346, art. IV, para. 3.
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Appendix I: Letter of Warning to Farmers

Letter of warning to the head of ______________ farm named ______________

With the purpose of unconditional fulfillment of the resolution of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan ПК-2484 issued on February 1st, 2016 “On sowing cotton seeds based on their varieties and forecast of production volumes” a contract agreement №_____ was concluded between the “_________” cotton processing Joint-Stock Association and the “_______” farm on the compulsory production of _____ tons of raw cotton harvest worth ____ soms on ___ ha of land in 2016.

Below are the list of expenses spent on the production of cotton at fields fixed in the contract till July 20th, 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Types of expenses</th>
<th>Cost (thousands of soms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Total amount of expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mineral fertilizers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chemical and biological tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Oil and lubricants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Total amount of expected expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Defoliation expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Harvest expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Total amount of expenses (III, I, II)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Total price of the product delivered in line with the contract (____ soms for ___ tons)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Financial results (V= IV-III)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Additional product grown in order to cover the expenses (____ tons)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As of July 20th of the current year, the farm received preferential credit from “Agrobank” ATB’s _______ branch for _____ million soms in order to cover expenses in producing cotton and additionally ____ million soms worth commercial credit for mineral fertilizers.

Additionally, as of July 20th, the cotton processing company would have sent agricultural experts in order to profit ______ million soms from the value of the product.

Farmers who have gained experience in taking good care of cotton would be required to make record of the productivity of every cotton plant in every 1 ha of land.

In order to pay back the credit to Agrobank, the farmers would have to submit the records of amount of resources used and would have to collect no less than _____ quintal and in total _____ tons of raw cotton.

Considering the above, this contract’s requirements have to be fulfilled and the work has to be checked by assigned agricultural experts at a given time and date.

In case of not fulfilling the requirements, the responsible parties would be subject to court hearing (Services and Civil Court), as well as the responsible parties (farmer and head of agriculture department) would be charged the amount of credit taken from bank from their personal accounts.

___________ head of district administration

___________ district Prosecutor

___________ head of district police directorate

___________ head of district Board of Farmers

________________________

___________ head of district council of villages and water resources

________________________

___________ head of cotton processing factory

________________________

___________ head of “Agrobank” branch
Appendix II: Contract Amendment

ADDITIONAL AGREEMENT to contract agreement N°____ on the purchase of raw cotton and cotton seeds concluded on ‘____’ ___________________2016

‘____’ ___________________2016 N°____
(the place where the contract was concluded) ______________________

(its address and name of the farm)

______________________________________________________________________________________________

Head
                                                                                     acting under regulations and on behalf of
                                                                                     (hereinafter referred to as ‘Farm’) on the one part and
                                                                                     ____________________________________________________

                                                                                     ____________________________________________________

                                                                                     
                   (the address and name of the recipient)
                                                                                     ________________ acting under regulations and on behalf of (hereinafter referred to as ‘Producer’) on the other part, concluded this additional contract that:

1. The contract’s 2.2 part should be amended with ‘k’ small part:

   “While involving people into the cotton harvest campaign, the laws of the Republic of Uzbekistan and international agreements, particularly ‘Emergency measures on prohibiting and ending grave forms of child labor”, as well as “Forced labour” and International conventions on forced labour should be strictly observed.

Number ‘25’ in the Contract clause 5.1 must be changed to number ‘30’;
Number ‘25’ in the Contract clause 5.2 must be changed to number ‘30’;
Number ‘15’ in the Contract clause 5.3 must be changed to number ‘20’;

The present Additional Contract is concluded in three copies and is valid after registration by Regional Department of Agriculture and Water Resources.
## Addresses and Bank details of the parties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm</th>
<th>Producer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank details</td>
<td>Bank details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________________</td>
<td>___________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature, position, full name,
full name,
Chief Officer on legal issues of the District Board of Farmers ________________
‘___’ ______________ 2016
Registered by ________________, Regional Department of Agriculture and Water
Resources
Lawyer’s signature__________, full name

________________________________________________________________________
### Appendix III: Ledgers

An example of a ledger provided by a district education department to the local government indicating the labor and cotton picking quota for each educational institution and reporting the amount actually provided for each day of the harvest (district and region withheld, names replaced by initials).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Quota for number of people</th>
<th>Number of people who picked cotton</th>
<th>People who came to the fields and left at midday</th>
<th>People who picked little (initials)</th>
<th>People at fields who did not collect cotton at all</th>
<th>Total amount of collected cotton (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Q M</td>
<td>I M I B</td>
<td></td>
<td>974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>S S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>I M I B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>U G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>S S M D Y S I T</td>
<td>S S M D</td>
<td></td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>O A A L B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8262</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"WE CAN’T REFUSE TO PICK COTTON"
### Other Institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>r/p</th>
<th>Quota for number of people</th>
<th>Number of people who picked cotton</th>
<th>People who came to the fields and left at midday</th>
<th>People who collected less cotton</th>
<th>People at fields who did not collect cotton at all</th>
<th>Total amount of collected cotton (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-YCSS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-YCSS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YGC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Kindergartens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>r/p</th>
<th>Quota for number of people</th>
<th>Number of people who picked cotton</th>
<th>People who came to the fields and left at midday</th>
<th>People who collected less cotton</th>
<th>People at fields who did not collect cotton at all</th>
<th>Total amount of collected cotton (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd KG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd KG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th KG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th KG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th KG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th KG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th KG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th KG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th KG</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th KG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th KG</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th KG</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th KG</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th KG</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total amount</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix IV: Monitoring Report

An example of a 2015 report prepared by a local government recording farm assignments and labor and cotton quotas to workers and public sector institutions and the amount actually provided for each day of the harvest (district, region, and farm names withheld).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Name of the farm</th>
<th>September 15</th>
<th>September 16</th>
<th>September 17</th>
<th>September 18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of workers</td>
<td>Number of workers</td>
<td>Number of workers</td>
<td>Number of workers</td>
<td>Number of workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present</td>
<td>employed</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>employed</td>
<td>present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>31,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural College</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>29,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2,220</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,400</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>agricultural college</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>11,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural College</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,632</td>
<td>1,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12,110</td>
<td>11,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6,483</td>
<td>8,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural College</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,837</td>
<td>2,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>12,110</td>
<td>11,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7,431</td>
<td>8,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural College</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,837</td>
<td>2,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>11,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6,460</td>
<td>7,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural College</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,837</td>
<td>2,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>12,110</td>
<td>11,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7,431</td>
<td>8,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural College</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,837</td>
<td>2,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12,110</td>
<td>11,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural College</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,632</td>
<td>1,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>11,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6,460</td>
<td>7,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural College</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,837</td>
<td>2,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Farm name withheld</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12,110</td>
<td>11,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organized population</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural College</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonucrane's KRRS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,632</td>
<td>1,132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The table above provides a summary of the monitoring report for various farms and locations, including the number of workers, members, and organized population, among other details. The data covers the period of harvest and includes information on agricultural college and Jonucrane's KRRS contributions.
“We Can’t Refuse to Pick Cotton”
Forced and Child Labor Linked to World Bank Group Investments in Uzbekistan

Uzbekistan has been subject to advocacy campaigns and even calls for international boycotts because of forced and child labor in its cotton sector. Nonetheless, the World Bank and its private sector lending arm, the International Finance Corporation (IFC), are providing about half a billion dollars to the Uzbek government and companies for projects that benefit the sector.

“We Can’t Refuse to Pick Cotton” details how the Uzbek government forced students, teachers, medical workers, other government employees, and private-sector employees to harvest cotton in 2015 and 2016, as well as to weed the fields and plant cotton in the spring of 2016. Based on interviews with victims of forced labor, leaked government documents, and statements by government officials, the report documents forced and child labor on one World Bank project area and systematic forced labor throughout the sector, and explains why it is highly likely that the Bank’s other agriculture projects in Uzbekistan are linked to ongoing forced labor. It is similarly likely that companies sourcing any significant quantity of cotton from Uzbekistan are purchasing a commodity that has been harvested, at least in part, by forced laborers. There is also a significant risk of child labor.

The report found that the government, often through employers, threatened its citizens that they would lose their jobs, child welfare benefits, or other welfare payments to which they are entitled, or be suspended or expelled from school, college, or university should they refuse to work in the cotton fields.

The World Bank Group should stop funding agriculture projects in Uzbekistan until the government ceases its practice of forcing adults, and sometimes children, to work in its cotton fields. In continuing to fund these projects, the World Bank is in effect providing cover for the government’s abuse and creating confusion among responsible companies and governments about Uzbekistan’s rights record.
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